Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues

No. 179 August 1, 2016

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu)

Managing Editor: Maree Newson (mareenewson@gmail.com)

Chinese FDI in the EU: learning from the renewable energy sector

by

Francesca Spigarelli and Ping Lv *

The European Union (EU) and China have very different depths of experience in relation to clean energy, and they have developed different approaches regarding this theme. To promote a greener energy mix, including solar, wind, biomass, and hydropower, Europe relied on consumption incentives, while China pushed production subsidies and massive public investments.

Recently, Chinese foreign direct investment in the EU is helping to integrate the Chinese and EU renewable energy industries and is playing an important role in addressing economic and technological challenges in that sector. As the EU has become comparatively weak in attracting global investment flows after the world economic crisis, China's willingness to invest in Europe is an opportunity to support key industries—that is, while China continues to need Western markets, European expertise and advanced technologies regarding renewable energy are still a benchmark in this area.

From 2004 to 2013, 135 Chinese firms undertook 208 renewable energy investment initiatives in the EU.[1] Greenfield investment was the preferred mode of entry in terms of numbers, and investors were mostly private companies. Market seeking was not the only, but was by far the prevailing motivation. As a result of overcapacity at home, Chinese firms looked for Western markets, thereby compensating for price pressures and reduced margins in China. Less frequently, they opened research-and-development centers in areas in which advanced technology and know-how were available. Seldom did they build manufacturing capacity.[2]

Investments exhibited a high geographical concentration. Seventeen EU members were target destinations, but more than 40% of investments were located in Germany. Other popular host countries were Bulgaria, Luxembourg and Italy. Chinese investors hailed from 19 provinces, but around 30% of them were from Jiangsu.

Generally, EU members with a poor institutional environment attracted investments only from Chinese provinces with poor institutions.[3] On the Chinese side, the extent and direction of investments were affected by the home province's supportive measures for renewable energies. Naturally, the level of development of the internal renewable energy sector was an additional determinant. On the EU side, the quality of the renewable energy industry (as in the case of Germany, the leader in related technology) and the availability of generous consumption policies seemed to attract investors.[4]

These trends have tremendous policy implications, both in geopolitical terms and for the ongoing negotiations of an EU–China bilateral investment treaty, as well as for the formulation of EU members' investment promotion policies.

To secure benefits from cooperation, the EU needs to play a more assertive role when discussing the terms of the investment framework with China, mostly with reference to reciprocity, impact and sustainability.

Reciprocity is still missing. The recently amended Chinese *Catalog of Industries for Guiding Foreign Investment* confirms an abundant number of restricted or prohibited industries, well beyond the sensitive sectors.[5] The EU's reaction through restrictions (as in the solar panel antidumping case)[6] is extremely weak. Indeed, this is also due to the considerable internal division within the EU.

Leveraging the interest of Chinese investors in Europe, the EU should address the impact of Chinese investments on local industries, in terms of relations with stakeholders, local supplier connections and labor-market trends. Provisions aiming at ensuring appropriate and fair corporate behavior, as well as clauses to commit to environmental protection, should be prioritized.

Promotion policies by EU members should be based on an understanding of the type of institutional environment and the level of industrial development of Chinese provinces. EU investment promotion agencies might "customize" measures and leverage similarities in the quality of institutions or complementarity of industrial systems. They might selectively develop services to assist investors from institutionally challenged provinces.

One last point, which cannot be ignored, is the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM). As China has sometimes associated the regulated business of CDM with its foreign investment projects, the new structure and mechanisms of the international carbon market could play a critical role in shaping the future of Chinese investments in the EU energy sector. Indeed, during COP21, China publicly committed to strengthen cooperation with the EU to build the new carbon market.[7]

---

[*] Francesca Spigarelli (spigarelli@unimc.it) is Director of the China Center, University of Macerata, Italy; Ping Lv (lvping@ucas.ac.cn) is Associate Professor at the School of Economics and Management, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, China. The research leading to this contribution was supported by the People Programme (MarieCurieActions) of the 7FP European Union FP7/2007-2013/

under REA GA 318908 and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (n. 71002082, 71472173). The authors are grateful to Filip de Beule, Louis Brennan and Ana Tavares for their helpful peer reviews, as well as to Federico Boffa. **The views expressed by the authors of this *Perspective* do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the European Union, or of Columbia University or its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.**

[1] Ping Lv and Francesca Spigarelli, "The integration of Chinese and European renewable energy markets: The role of Chinese foreign direct investments," *Energy Policy*, vol. 81 (2015), pp. 14-26.
[2] Ping Lv and Francesca Spigarelli, "The determinants of location choice: Chinese foreign direct investments in the European renewable energy sector," *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, vol. 3 (forthcoming 2016).
[3] Ping Lv and Francesca Spigarelli, op. cit., 2015, p. 21.
[4] Usha C.V. Haley and Douglas A. Schuler, "Government policy and firm strategy in the solar photovoltaic industry," *California Management Review*, vol. 54, 1 (2011), pp. 17-38.
[5] National Development and Reform Commission, Ministry of Commerce, China, "Catalogue of Industries for Guiding Foreign Investment (2015 Amendment)," Policy No. 22 (2015), Chinese version available at http://www.ndrc.gov.cn/zcfb/zcfbl/201503/W020150402620481787669.pdf.
[6] *Case AD590 - Solar panels (Crystalline silicon photovoltaic modules and key components)*, Initial Investigation, Art. 5, European Commission, initiated Sept. 6, 2012.
[7] Xinhua, "China to accelerate construction of carbon market: Xie Zhenhua," *China Daily Europe* (Dec. 7, 2015), available at http://europe.chinadaily.com.cn/business/2015-12/07/content_22648422.htm.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Francesca Spigarelli and Ping Lv, 'Chinese FDI in the EU: learning from the renewable energy sector,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 179, August 1, 2016. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (www.ccsi.columbia.edu)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Daniel Allman, daniel.allman@columbia.edu.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at http://www.ccsi.columbia.edu.

Most recent *Columbia FDI Perspectives*

- No. 178, Mark Feldman, Rodrigo Monardes Vignolo and Cristián Rodríguez Chiffelle, "The Pacific Rim as a platform for international investment law harmonization," July 18, 2016.
- No. 177, Stephan W. Schill, "Changing geography: prospects for Asian actors as global rule-makers in international investment law," July 4, 2016.
- No. 176, Anthea Roberts and Richard Braddock, "Protecting public welfare regulation through joint treaty party control: a ChAFTA innovation," June 20, 2016.
- No. 175, Umirdinov Alisher, "The case for an advisory center on international investment law," June 6, 2016.
- No. 174, Qianwen Zhang, "China's 'new normal' in international investment agreements," May 23, 2016.

All previous *FDI Perspectives* are available at http://ccsi.columbia.edu/publications/columbia-fdi-perspectives/.