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The mine opens and extracts minerals for 25 years. Then it closes, and the site is rehabilitated as required by law. End of story? From the perspective of an international investor, this is often the case—a classic example of the boom-bust cycle associated with large mines. However, the immediate impact of closing a mine can be devastating to local communities, businesses and people that had depended on the project. Acknowledging that closure impacts can be mitigated, governments, extractive companies, civil society, and other stakeholders have developed approaches that target long-term sustainable development. These approaches, which can be contractual, seek to strengthen communities’ capacity to develop sustainable economic activities while mines are in operation, and that can be maintained after they close.

Today, governments and industry have a stronger interest than in the past to achieve sustained development in communities affected by large projects. Increasingly, the trend is to move to a standardized, statutory approach to national investment regulation. Several tools are available now to promote immediate and sustainable development around mining projects. These include two complementary approaches: corporate social responsibility (CSR) programs and community development agreements (CDAs).

**CSR programs.** Large mines have always played a role in local economies—directly, as providers of employment, local procurement and infrastructure; indirectly, through economic multiplier impacts. However, community expectations can be higher than this, and increasingly investors need to earn “a social license to operate” by gaining and maintaining the support of the local population.¹ Most large mines today have voluntary CSR programs, often emphasizing immediate needs—for example, building a school, providing uniforms for the kids’ soccer teams or organizing immunization efforts. The strength of CSR programs is that they address immediate needs. However, well-designed CSR programs can, but do not always, address sustainable development, development that will prepare
affected communities for after the operations close. For example, how will teachers in the investor-built schools work and be paid after investors leave?

**CDAs.** As the name implies, a CDA focusses on development, which may include not only immediate community development, but also sustainable development to prepare communities for post-closure. Some CDAs are legally binding contracts; others take forms that are less enforceable. The parties to such agreements may include affected communities, the investors and possibly governments. Unlike CSR programs (that can be substantially unilateral, but need not be), CDAs are generally bi- or multi-lateral, with the obligations of the signatory parties defined in the agreements. CDAs may be required by statutory law (for example, where the mining law requires all large-scale mines to have a CDA) or created at the discretion of the interested parties. Over 40 jurisdictions now require CDAs for large-mining operations and more than 50 impose some sort of community development effort. To aid governments in mandating CDAs, the World Bank has published an in-depth source-book on CDAs, including model mining regulations that set out recommended regulatory and CDA content requirements. Following the World Bank’s publication, the United Nations published an updated version of model regulations. Other organizations have published various best-practice CDA guidance, including on how to address implementation challenges.

For governments that mandate CDAs, statutory law may address such topics as what types of projects are required to have CDAs, parties to agreements, mandatory agreement content, periodic CDA revision, negotiation capacity, agreement approval, alignment with government development plans, how new community members are to be handled, annual minimum expenditure and reporting requirements, penalties, and enforcement.

Although statutory law may set out certain CDA requirements, the specific content of a CDA needs to be tailored to the situation. Typically, a CDA should include the agreement’s objectives; beneficiaries; the party managing the agreement; the person or body representing the community; how the community, including marginalized groups, will participate in decision-making processes and implementation; a development program plan; community consultations on mine-closure planning; obligations of the community to the investor; how and when CDAs will be reviewed and updated; consultative and monitoring frameworks; how funds made available under the agreement are disbursed, what accounts must be kept and by whom and reporting and auditing requirements; grievance and dispute-resolution provisions; and the process by which agreements may be modified. These elements are also described in detail in the above-mentioned model CDA regulations.

The use of CSR and CDAs can (and should) play an important role in managing community expectations. They provide a means to achieve sustainable development for mine-dependent communities long after the mine ceases to operate.

---

1 James Otto (jim.otto@comcast.net) is the former Director and Professor of the Environmental and Natural Resources Advanced Degree Program at the University of Denver Sturm College of Law. The author wishes to thank Boris Dolgonos, Perrine Toledano and Louis T. Wells for their helpful peer reviews.


The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: “James Otto, ‘How FDI in the mining sector can assist communities to achieve sustainable development,’ Columbia FDI Perspectives No. 325, February 21, 2022. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (http://ccsi.columbia.edu).” A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the Perspectives, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Riccardo Loschi, riccardo.loschi@columbia.edu; Luca Jobbagy, lj2406@columbia.edu.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at http://ccsi.columbia.edu.

**Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives**

- No. 323, Julien Chaise, “FDI and sustainable development in the EU-China investment treaty: Neither high nor low, just realistic expectations,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, January 24, 2022
- No 321, Peter Muchlinski, “Promoting responsible business through the revised ILO Tripartite Declaration,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, December 27, 2021
- No. 320, Daniela Gomez Altamirano, “Protecting FDI contributing to host countries’ development: The rise of the “forgotten” Salini criterion as part of the definition of investment,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, December 13, 2021

All previous FDI Perspectives are available at https://ccsi.columbia.edu/content/columbia-fdi-perspectives.