Meeting the UN’s Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) requires substantial resources, including substantially higher FDI flows. However, the issue is not only more FDI, but commercially viable investment that makes a maximum contribution to the economic, social and environmental development of host countries and takes place in the framework of fair governance mechanisms—“sustainable FDI.”

What, precisely, is sustainable FDI? It is investment that exhibits certain “sustainability characteristics”: the economic, social, environmental, and governance contributions that governments expect multinational enterprises (MNEs) to make to the development of host countries, and that MNEs (or their organizations) themselves say they seek to make to host countries’ development. These contributions can be gleaned from 150 (mostly recent) instruments that define the interaction between host countries and MNEs: international investment agreements, voluntary intergovernmental instruments, host country laws, home country policies, intergovernmental organization standards, voluntary business and industry codes, private institutional investor standards, and company codes. These instruments represent eight principal stakeholder groups.

A wide range of contributions emerge, strongly representing all four sustainability dimensions.

More importantly, a number of sustainability characteristics are contained in half or more of the instruments involving half or more of the stakeholder groups: low carbon footprint, labor rights, workplace safety, non-discrimination, human rights, resettlement, transparency, supply-chain standards, stakeholder engagement, and legal compliance. These are defined as “common FDI sustainability characteristics.” For example, at least half of the instruments categorized as home country standards, international organization standards, private institutional investor standards, and MNE codes contain the characteristic “low carbon footprint.”
If one takes a lower threshold of one-third of the instruments involving at least one-third of the stakeholder groups, 20 “emerging common FDI sustainability characteristics” appear.¹ For example, one-third or more of the voluntary intergovernmental instruments, home country instruments and MNE codes contain “local linkages” as a desirable contribution. Quite conceivably, a number of these emerging common sustainability characteristics may “graduate” to become common FDI sustainability characteristics in a few years. It is also likely that new FDI sustainability characteristics will emerge, as countries implement the SDGs, reflecting the dynamic and evolving relationship between new investment and sustainable development needs.

Crucially, there is a remarkable overlap in the types of contributions governments expect MNEs to make to host countries’ sustainable development, and MNEs themselves expect to make toward this objective. And these contributions go beyond “do no harm”: they involve active contributions to sustainable development (e.g., creating linkages).

This overlap is also quite visible if one compares the Guidelines of the International Chamber of Commerce with the principal (albeit voluntary) intergovernmental instruments in this area, the ILO MNE Declaration, the UN Guiding Principles and the OECD MNE Guidelines.²

Significantly, this convergence suggests an expanding international consensus across a wide range of stakeholders, from various geographic regions, as to what sustainable FDI entails, reflected in the common and emerging common FDI sustainability characteristics. Intergovernmental instruments underpin this consensus.

This convergence should have a strong signaling effect for all stakeholders seeking to promote sustainable FDI for sustainable development. It should also make it easier for them to include sustainability characteristics in the instruments they negotiate or adopt, especially by providing guidance on what is generally acceptable.

This convergence is also relevant for the WTO discussions on facilitating FDI flows. Any instrument emerging from these discussions should pay attention to facilitating sustainable FDI.

But primary action needs to take place at the national and sub-national levels. There, a change in mindset is needed: investment promotion agencies (IPAs) need to switch from “more” FDI to “sustainable FDI.” This is difficult, as IPAs are typically evaluated on the basis of the number of projects, amount of capital and/or the number of jobs generated. These criteria remain relevant, but must be augmented by equally important sustainability criteria reflecting the characteristics described above. This requires action at the highest levels of government, bolstered by incentives and IPAs working in alliances with investors to improve their sustainability profile in the context of individual investments.

The sustainability characteristics are relevant when designing international investment agreements (perhaps even by defining “investment” as “sustainable investment”) before governments consider any particular investments, for legislating nationally on FDI, for
applying admission criteria to particular proposals that may require approval, and in the
development of local sustainable development benefit agreements where these are needed
to enhance an investment. They are relevant for the entire life-cycle of investments in
their relationships with host countries.

However, it is not only host countries and MNEs that need to take action. Home countries
too have opportunities, e.g., when supporting their firms investing abroad. Such support
can be linked to sustainability considerations, as is done by, e.g., Belgium.¹

Helping to achieve the SDGs through sustainable FDI is a shared responsibility of host
and home countries and MNEs—and it is in their common interest. A broadly accepted
indicative list of sustainability characteristics, constructed around the common and
emerging common FDI sustainability characteristics, can help, as it lends credibility to
such an effort and gives it direction in terms of both legal and policy-oriented development.
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