



VALE COLUMBIA CENTER  
ON SUSTAINABLE INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT  
A JOINT CENTER OF COLUMBIA LAW SCHOOL AND  
THE EARTH INSTITUTE AT COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

## Columbia FDI Profiles

Country profiles of inward and outward foreign direct investment  
issued by the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment

July 26, 2010

Editor-in-Chief: [Karl P. Sauvant](#)

Editor: [Thomas Jost](#)

Managing Editors: [Zehra Gulay Kavame](#), [Delphine Papaud](#)  
and [Ana-Maria Poveda-Garces](#)

### Inward FDI in Germany and its policy context

by

Thomas Jost\*

*With a stable economic and political system, open capital markets, the largest domestic market in Europe, and European Union (EU) membership, Germany has attracted competitive and export-oriented multinational enterprises (MNEs) since the 1960s. In the 1990s —after German unification and the opening up of Eastern Europe— inward foreign direct investment (IFDI) grew more slowly than expected despite the increased market potential. In recent years, the German economy strengthened and the wage and cost gaps against its main competitors narrowed, contributing to higher IFDI. With the financial and economic crises, German IFDI declined considerably in 2008 but started to rise again in 2009. At the end of 2008, Germany ranked among the top four developed countries as host for IFDI. Germany's open investment regime was tightened in 2009, in reaction to the emergence of sovereign wealth funds (SWFs).*

### Trends and developments

#### *Country-level developments*

The successful reintegration of Germany into the world economy after the Second World War, as well as the European unification process, stimulated IFDI in Germany. Already in the 1960s, many of the largest MNEs worldwide (like General Motors or IBM) had established affiliates in Germany. In 1990, the year of the German reunification, the consolidated primary and secondary IFDI stock amounted to US\$ 111 billion.<sup>1</sup> Since then, it has risen six-fold, to reach US\$ 666

---

\* Thomas Jost ([thomas.jost@h-ab.de](mailto:thomas.jost@h-ab.de)) is Professor of Economics at the University of Applied Sciences Aschaffenburg. The author wishes to thank Alexandra Angress, Jörn Kleinert and Beatrix Stejskal-Passler for their helpful comments. The views expressed by the author of this *Profile* do not necessarily reflect opinions of Columbia University, its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Profiles* is a peer-reviewed series.

<sup>1</sup> The German inward FDI stock figures that are used most for analysis in this article are consolidated primary and secondary direct investment stock figures. This is a very special calculation done by Deutsche Bundesbank, looking through dependent (majority foreign owned) holding companies in Germany and including their direct investment enterprises in Germany. These figures are not comparable with the figures of most other countries, taking only primary FDI into account. The primary FDI stock in Germany is much higher than the consolidated primary and secondary one, because FDI in dependent holding companies is much higher than the FDI stock in their direct investment enterprises, which replace the dependent holding companies by consolidation. The reason for this is that the holding companies receive more money from their foreign investors to buy the

billion at the end of 2008 (annex table 1). The primary IFDI stock at the end of 2008 amounted to US\$ 911 billion; Germany therefore ranked fourth among the countries listed in annex table 1. Foreign MNEs were attracted by the size of the German market (the largest market in Europe, producing 20% of the EU-27 GDP), the competitiveness of the German corporate sector with its efficient suppliers, high quality infrastructure, a skilled labor force, the country's strong trade ties, and low financing costs on German capital markets.<sup>2</sup>

At the end of 2008, the value of the German IFDI stock reached 50% of the value of the country's OFDI stock. From time to time, the gap between inward and outward FDI has given rise to criticism about the quality of Germany as a business location.<sup>3</sup> In particular, high wages, a relatively inflexible and overregulated labor market and high marginal tax rates were seen as detrimental to investing in Germany.<sup>4</sup> In addition, low foreign investment in the Eastern part of Germany after reunification was criticized. The IFDI stock in East Germany amounted to US\$ 22 billion at the end of 2008, only 5% of the total IFDI stock in Germany, whereas the East German GDP accounted for 12% of the total German GDP.<sup>5</sup> IFDI in East Germany has remained low since the mid 1990s.<sup>6</sup> This can partially be explained by the rapid adjustment of East German wages to the West German level after reunification, despite low labor productivity, as well as by the deindustrialization process that induced MNEs to supply the East German economy via their West German affiliates.

At the end of 2008, foreign companies employed 2.6 million workers in their affiliates in Germany. This employment was therefore much lower than employment of German MNEs in their affiliates abroad (5.9 million), reflecting the gap between outward and inward FDI. However, foreign companies are very important for the German economy. In 2007, majority-owned foreign affiliates in the non-financial sectors produced 28% of the total value-added and employed 13% of the total workforce in these sectors.<sup>7</sup> The value-added of all foreign affiliates in Germany amounted to US\$ 1.9 trillion in 2008.

Like in many other developed countries, IFDI flows in Germany evolved more irregularly than IFDI stocks and were influenced by single large transactions or tax changes (annex table 2).

---

secondary foreign direct investment enterprises than these secondary FDI enterprises show in their balance sheets. FDI stocks are calculated by own funds at book value of the direct investment enterprises.

<sup>2</sup> Axel Jochem, "International financial competitiveness," Deutsche Bundesbank, *Discussion Paper Series 1: Economic Studies*, No. 29/2008 (Frankfurt: Deutsche Bundesbank, 2008), available at: [www.bundesbank.de/download/volkswirtschaft/dkp/2008/200829dkp.pdf](http://www.bundesbank.de/download/volkswirtschaft/dkp/2008/200829dkp.pdf)

<sup>3</sup> Thomas Jost, "Direct investment and Germany as a business location," *Discussion Paper 2/1997*, Economic Research Group of the Deutsche Bundesbank (Frankfurt: Deutsche Bundesbank, 1997).

<sup>4</sup> Maik Dietrich and Dirk Kiesewetter, "Schwedische Direktinvestitionen in Deutschland und in Österreich: Eine empirische Untersuchung der gefühlten Steuerbelastung", *Perspektiven der Wirtschaftspolitik*, vol. 9 (2008), pp. 62-82.

<sup>5</sup> The regional FDI figures should be used with care as they are assigned to that Federal State where the legal place of the enterprise is and possibly not to that Federal State where production and economic activity takes place. The Deutsche Bundesbank figures for East Germany do not include East Berlin.

<sup>6</sup> The stock statistics of the Deutsche Bundesbank classified by the 16 German Federal States ("Bundesländer") are not published but are available on request.

<sup>7</sup> These figures are the first results of the new FATS-statistics of the German Federal Statistics Office (Statistisches Bundesamt). The FATS-statistics include only majority-owned enterprises, whereas FDI figures include all interests above a 10%-threshold. Some big enterprises in Germany with a large number of employees are minority-owned by foreign investors. See Jörg Feuerhake, Alexander Schulze and Kirsten Untz, "Inward FATS: Auslandskontrollierte Unternehmen in Deutschland 2007", *Wirtschaft und Statistik*, Statistisches Bundesamt 5/2010, available at: [www.destatis.de](http://www.destatis.de). The Federal Statistics Office is responsible for the EU-wide "Foreign affiliates statistics" (FATS) for foreign-controlled companies in Germany, whereas the Deutsche Bundesbank is responsible for the statistics on foreign affiliates of German companies abroad.

During the new technology boom at the turn of the century, the acquisition of Mannesmann by British Vodafone for US\$ 202 billion led to record IFDI inflows of roughly US\$ 200 billion in 2000.<sup>8</sup> In 2004, foreign MNEs withdrew US\$ 10 billion on balance from Germany. This was mainly attributable to large net repayments of cross-border, intra-company loans by foreign affiliates, partly due to a revision of the German Corporation Tax Act, intended to encourage foreign companies to transform corporate loans to their foreign affiliates into equity capital.<sup>9</sup> During 2005-2009, IFDI flows increased to a relatively high annual average of US\$ 60 billion in 2005-2007, and they only fell by 50% to an average of US\$ 30 billion in 2008-2009, despite the economic and financial market crises.

FDI in Germany is concentrated in the services sector, with a stable share of around 65% of the total IFDI stock during the past decade (annex table 3). Privatization and liberalization in the telecommunication sector as well as in the electricity, gas and water supply sectors drove up inward investment in 2000-2009. Manufacturing accounted for roughly one third of IFDI in Germany, whereby the mere nominal investment figures fail to show the real importance of foreign affiliates for the German economy in manufacturing. In order to compete successfully with domestic German companies, these firms are often highly competitive and world market leaders.<sup>10</sup>

Developed economies contributed more than 96% of the IFDI stock in Germany at the end of 2008 (annex table 4). The EU partner countries alone were responsible for more than three quarters of these investments. Geographic proximity, the single European market, strong trade ties, and a common currency among sixteen EU countries are the main factors explaining the dominance of the EU. The Netherlands and Luxembourg, both important locations for holding companies, were the two countries with the largest IFDI stock in Germany (US\$ 152 billion and US\$ 97 billion, respectively) in 2008. Other important investors in Germany were the United States (U.S.) (US\$ 67 billion) and France (US\$ 62 billion). Emerging markets' FDI in Germany plays only a marginal role. It is only in recent years that MNEs from these markets, from Russia and West Asian countries, have been able to increase their FDI in the country. Investments by state-owned enterprises (SOEs) and SWFs triggered policy reactions especially from the German Government (see below).

### *The corporate players*

Early after World War II, many big MNEs (foremost from the U.S.) had begun to build production facilities and distribution and service centers in Germany. Foreign MNEs therefore contributed to the rebuilding and reintegration of Germany into the world economy by transferring capital and technology. In 2008, there were 12,659 foreign direct investment enterprises in Germany, with participating interests of foreign investors of 10% or more.<sup>11</sup> Foreign-controlled companies in Germany that belong to the top 125 companies of the non-

<sup>8</sup> UNCTAD, *World Investment Report 2001: Promoting Linkages* (New York and Geneva: United Nations, 2001), p. 244. In the year 2000, other investments and divestments of foreign companies in Germany (i.e. excluding the Vodafone-Mannesmann deal) were nearly in equilibrium.

<sup>9</sup> Deutsche Bundesbank, "German balance of payments for the year 2004," *Monthly Report* (March 2004), p. 39.

<sup>10</sup> According to a study of Eurostat, six German regions are amongst the top 20 high-tech regions in the EU. Eurostat, "Regional employment in high-tech sectors," *Statistics in Focus*, 102/2007.

<sup>11</sup> Deutsche Bundesbank, "Bestandshebung über Direktinvestitionen," *Statistische Sonderveröffentlichung 10* (April 2010), available at: [www.bundesbank.de](http://www.bundesbank.de).

financial sector in Germany are listed in annex table 5. In the financial sector, more than 200 foreign banks and other financial institutions operate in Germany.<sup>12</sup>

In recent years, foreign MNEs have continued to enhance their presence in Germany by undertaking cross-border mergers and acquisitions (M&As) (annex table 6). In 2007 and 2008, a large number of mega-deals, valued US\$1 billion or more, were concluded in many industries and were the main driver of IFDI. In 2009, due to the economic and financial crisis, the number of mega-deals sharply declined, like in most other developed countries. Indeed, there were only two. The most eye-catching transaction was the investment of Qatar Investment Authority in Volkswagen AG for US\$ 9.6 billion, raising its capital stake to 17%.<sup>13</sup>

The largest greenfield investments that were announced in the past three years are listed in annex table 7. Most investors are well-known MNEs from developed countries. In recent years, MNEs from Russia and the United Arab Emirates have been emerging as important investors in Germany. Profiting from high incomes from the export of oil and other natural resources Russian and Arabian SOEs and SWFs increased their investments in Germany. Several large greenfield investments of Russia's energy giant Gazprom (motivated by a strategy to expand its downstream activities to supply gas to final consumers) drove Russia's FDI stock in Germany from US\$ 1 billion in 2005 to US\$ 6.3 billion at the end of 2008.

### **Effects of the current global crisis**

In reaction to the global economic and financial crises, IFDI flows to Germany sharply declined in 2008, by 68%, from US\$ 77 billion to US\$ 25 billion. Net equity capital investments halved to US\$ 23 billion, reinvested earnings turned negative and net lending of foreign MNEs to their affiliates in Germany heavily declined to a mere US\$ 1.5 billion, which could point to increased financial needs of parent companies abroad. In contrast to most other developed economies (and comparable economies listed in annex table 2), IFDI in Germany already started to rise again in 2009, despite the sharp recession of the German economy (with a 5% decline of real GDP).<sup>14</sup> Germany profited from a general improvement of the business climate, starting in the second quarter of 2009.

Despite the strong decline in output in 2009, Germany has weathered the financial and economic crises better than many other countries and is regarded as a new "engine" of Europe.<sup>15</sup> Some survey results point in the same direction. UNCTAD's *World Investment Prospects Survey 2009-2011* ranks Germany among the most attractive business locations among developed countries.<sup>16</sup> The Global Competitiveness Report (GCR) of the World Economic Forum ranks Germany on seventh place worldwide as a preferred investment destination.<sup>17</sup> Recent studies of the US

<sup>12</sup> In Spring 2010, the Association of Foreign Banks in Germany had more than 210 member institutions. Verband der Auslandsbanken e.V., "Pressemitteilung 1/2010," March 23, 2010, available at: [http://213.83.8.9/owcms/frontend/downloads/Presse/2010/Pressemeldung%201-2010\\_end.pdf](http://213.83.8.9/owcms/frontend/downloads/Presse/2010/Pressemeldung%201-2010_end.pdf)

<sup>13</sup> Volkswagen AG, "Geschäftsbericht 2009", available at: <http://geschaeftsbericht2009.volkswagenag.com/anhange/sonstigeerlaeuterungen/mitteilungennachwertpapierhandelsgesetz.html>

<sup>14</sup> Deutsche Bundesbank, "German balance of payments in 2009," *Monthly Report*, March 2010, pp. 17-31.

<sup>15</sup> The Economist, "Germany – Europe's engine," March 11, 2010.

<sup>16</sup> UNCTAD, *World Investment Prospects Survey 2009-2011* (New York and Geneva: United Nations, 2009), pp. 55 f.

<sup>17</sup> World Economic Forum, *Global Competitiveness Report 2009-2010* (Geneva: WEF, 2009).

Chamber of Commerce and the Boston Consulting Group, as well as of Ernst&Young, underline the increased attractiveness of Germany as a business location.<sup>18</sup>

### **The policy scene**

Already in the 1950s, Germany had a very open investment regime and no barriers against IFDI. Like in several other developed countries, the rise of SWFs in recent years initiated a public debate that led to a tightening of the German investment law.<sup>19</sup> In April 2009, Germany's Government amended the German Foreign Trade and Payments Act and its implementing regulations. According to the new law, the Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology can review a planned acquisition of an existing German company by non-EU or non-European Free Trade Area purchasers and suspend or prohibit a transaction if it threatens national security or public order.

Only in very limited cases of a potential threat of national security or public order can the Federal Ministry initiate a review process. The procedure must also be in accordance with the requirements of the European Union treaties. In an explanatory memorandum on the new law,<sup>20</sup> the Government refers to the European Community Treaty (EC Treaty, articles 46 and 58(1), now articles 52 and 65 of the "Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union" that is part of the Lisbon Treaty) and to the case law of the European Court of Justice.<sup>21</sup> A screening of foreign investments in Germany is applicable to investors from outside the EU and the European Free Trade Association who seek to acquire 25% or more voting rights of a German company.<sup>22</sup> It is not limited to specific sectors or size of the target company. The new law does not explicitly distinguish between private and public foreign investors, but it was clearly motivated by the emergence of SWFs as important international investors.

According to the Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology, as of May 2010, 34 foreign companies had applied for a certificate of non-objection since the new law entered into force in April 2009. All companies received the certificate within (on average) two weeks. From April 2009 to May 2010, there was not a single review initiated by the Government. Despite the rather positive experiences with the new law so far, this more restrictive investment law could send a wrong signal to potential foreign investors and was therefore heavily criticized by the German Council of Economic Advisors and the German Industry Federation.<sup>23</sup>

---

<sup>18</sup> American Chamber of Commerce and Boston Consulting Group, op. cit., and Ernst&Young, "Waking up to the new economy: Ernst&Youngs 2010 European attractiveness survey", available at: [www.ey.com/GL/en/Issues/Business-environment/2010-European-attractiveness-survey](http://www.ey.com/GL/en/Issues/Business-environment/2010-European-attractiveness-survey).

<sup>19</sup> Thomas Jost, "Sovereign wealth funds: size, economic effects and policy reactions," *Weidener Diskussionspapiere No. 13*, January 2009, available at: [www.haw-w.de/fileadmin/user\\_upload/Aktuelles/Veroeffentlichungen/wen\\_diskussionspapier13.pdf](http://www.haw-w.de/fileadmin/user_upload/Aktuelles/Veroeffentlichungen/wen_diskussionspapier13.pdf).

<sup>20</sup> Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology, "Explanatory memorandum," available at: [www.bmwi.de](http://www.bmwi.de).

<sup>21</sup> Thomas Jost, "Sovereign wealth funds and the German policy reaction," in Karl P. Sauvart, Lisa Sachs and Wouter P.F. Schmit Jongbloed, eds, *Sovereign Investment: Concerns and Policy Reactions* (New York, forthcoming 2010).

<sup>22</sup> An investment by a European Union resident company of which a Community-non-resident holds at least 25% of the voting rights can also be reviewed.

<sup>23</sup> Sachverständigenrat, *Jahresgutachten 2007/08: Das Erreichte nicht verspielen* (Wiesbaden: 2007); Bundesverband der Deutschen Industrie, "BDI kritisiert geplante Änderungen im Außenwirtschaftsgesetz," *Pressemitteilung* 81, August 4, 2008, available at: [www.bdi.eu](http://www.bdi.eu).

Notwithstanding the change in the investment law, the German Government has repeatedly emphasized that it welcomes foreign investors,<sup>24</sup> and has taken several measures to attract IFDI. Germany has concluded a large number of double taxation treaties (DTTs). As of May 2010, DTTs are in effect with 108 countries.<sup>25</sup> In January 2009, “Germany Trade and Invest”, the foreign trade and inward investment agency of the Federal Republic of Germany, was formed after the merger of the “German Office for Foreign Trade” and “Invest in Germany”. Its mission is to promote Germany as a location for industrial and technological investments and to identify investors for the German market. The organization advises foreign companies looking to expand their business activities on the German market and provides comprehensive and client-oriented economic and industry data as well as information about calls for proposals in foreign countries, investment and development projects and legal and customs regulations. The promotion of economic activity in Germany’s new federal states, including Berlin, also forms an integral part of the agency’s external trade and business location marketing remit. Last but not least, the German corporate sector (e.g., the Federation of German Industries) favors an open investment climate.<sup>26</sup>

### Outlook

With the renewed uncertainty in the wake of the debt crisis in several EU countries, it is too early to forecast the medium-term investment behavior of MNEs in general, and in Germany in particular. But the German economy has made some strong progress toward improved business conditions in the past few years and, in combination with sound economic growth, this could pave the way for new IFDI.

### Additional readings

Deutsche Bundesbank, “German foreign direct investment (FDI) relationships: recent trends and macroeconomic effects,” *Deutsche Bundesbank: Monthly Report* (September 2006), pp. 43-58, available at:

[www.bundesbank.de/download/volkswirtschaft/mba/2006/200609mba\\_en\\_foreign.pdf](http://www.bundesbank.de/download/volkswirtschaft/mba/2006/200609mba_en_foreign.pdf).

Deutsche Bundesbank, “German balance of payments in 2009,” *Deutsche Bundesbank: Monthly Report* (March 2010), pp. 17-32, available at:

[www.bundesbank.de/download/volkswirtschaft/mba/2010/201003mba\\_en\\_german.pdf](http://www.bundesbank.de/download/volkswirtschaft/mba/2010/201003mba_en_german.pdf).

Feuerhake, Joerg, Alexander Schulze and Kirsten Untz, “Inward FATS: Auslandskontrollierte Unternehmen in Deutschland 2007“, *Wirtschaft und Statistik*, Statistisches Bundesamt, 5/2010, available at: [www.destatis.de](http://www.destatis.de).

Jost, Thomas, “Sovereign wealth funds and the German policy reaction,” in Karl P. Sauvart, Lisa Sachs and Wouter P.F. Schmit Jongbloed, eds., *Sovereign Investment: Concerns and Policy Reactions* (New York, forthcoming 2010).

<sup>24</sup> Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology, “Investitionsfreiheit und Prüfung ausländischer Investitionen: kein Widerspruch,” *Schlaglichter der Wirtschaftspolitik*, Monatsbericht März 2008, pp. 7-10, available at: [www.bmwi.de](http://www.bmwi.de).

<sup>25</sup> The most recent official list of German DTTs is published by the Bundesministerium der Finanzen, “Stand der Doppelbesteuerungsabkommen und der Doppelbesteuerungsverhandlungen am 1. Januar 2010,” available at: [www.bundesfinanzministerium.de/nm\\_318/DE/BMF\\_Startseite/Aktuelles/BMF\\_Schreiben/Internationales\\_Steuerrecht/009.html](http://www.bundesfinanzministerium.de/nm_318/DE/BMF_Startseite/Aktuelles/BMF_Schreiben/Internationales_Steuerrecht/009.html).

<sup>26</sup> Bundesverband der Deutschen Industrie, op.cit.

Jochem, Axel, "International financial competitiveness," Deutsche Bundesbank, *Discussion Paper Series 1: Economic Studies*, No. 29/2008, available at: [www.bundesbank.de/download/volkswirtschaft/dkp/2008/200829dkp.pdf](http://www.bundesbank.de/download/volkswirtschaft/dkp/2008/200829dkp.pdf)

### **Useful website for FDI flows and stocks statistics**

Deutsche Bundesbank, "Special statistical publication 10: foreign direct investment stock statistics," available at: [www.bundesbank.de/download/statistik/stat\\_soner/statso10\\_en.pdf](http://www.bundesbank.de/download/statistik/stat_soner/statso10_en.pdf).

[www.bundesbank.de/statistik/statistik\\_zeitreihen.en.php](http://www.bundesbank.de/statistik/statistik_zeitreihen.en.php)

\* \* \* \* \*

Copyright © Columbia University in the City of New York. The material in this *Profile* may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: Thomas Jost, "Inward FDI of Germany and its policy context," *Columbia FDI Profiles*, July 26, 2010. Reprinted with permission from the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment ([www.vcc.columbia.edu](http://www.vcc.columbia.edu)).

*A copy should kindly be sent to the Vale Columbia Center at [vcc@law.columbia.edu](mailto:vcc@law.columbia.edu).*

For further information please contact: Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment, Zehra G. Kavame, (212) 854-0638, [Zkavam1@law.columbia.edu](mailto:Zkavam1@law.columbia.edu).

The Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC), led by Dr. Karl P. Sauvant, is a joint center of Columbia Law School and The Earth Institute at Columbia University. It seeks to be a leader on issues related to foreign direct investment (FDI) in the global economy. VCC focuses on the analysis and teaching of the implications of FDI for public policy and international investment law.

## Statistical annex

### Annex table 1. Germany: inward FDI stock, 1990-2008

(US\$ billion)

Economy	1990	1995	2000	2005	2007	2008
Germany: consolidated primary and secondary inward FDI stock	111	166	272	476	696	666 <sup>b</sup>
Germany: primary inward FDI stock <sup>a</sup>	120	193	471	640	952	911 <sup>b</sup>
Memorandum: comparator economies						
United States	395	536	1,257	1,634	2,110	2,279
United Kingdom	204	200	439	841	1,264	983
France	98	191	260	628	950	991
Japan	10	34	50	101	133	203

*Sources:* For Germany, Deutsche Bundesbank, "Special statistical publication 10: foreign direct investment stock statistics," available at: [www.bundesbank.de/download/statistik/stat\\_sonder/statso10\\_en.pdf](http://www.bundesbank.de/download/statistik/stat_sonder/statso10_en.pdf) (data converted from Euro in US-Dollar using end of year exchange rates from the International Monetary Fund, available at: [http://www.imf.org/external/np/fin/data/param\\_rms\\_mth.aspx](http://www.imf.org/external/np/fin/data/param_rms_mth.aspx)). For comparator economies, UNCTAD's FDI/TNC database, available at: <http://stats.unctad.org/fdi/>.

<sup>a</sup> For international comparisons, the German primary inward FDI stock should be used (see the explanation in footnote 1 of the text).

<sup>b</sup> The decline of the inward FDI stock in 2008 is due to the depreciation of the Euro against the US-Dollar. Measured in Euro, the inward FDI stock increased slightly.

### Annex table 2. Germany: inward FDI flows, 2000-2009

(US\$ billion)

Economy	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Germany	199	26	54	33	-10	47	56	77	25	37
Memorandum: comparator economies										
United States	314	160	75	53	136	105	237	271	328	136
United Kingdom	119	53	24	17	56	176	156	183	92	47
France	43	51	49	43	33	85	78	158	98	64
Japan	8	6	9	6	8	3	-7	23	25	12

*Sources:* For Germany, Deutsche Bundesbank, "Zahlungsbilanzstatistik, Statistisches Beiheft 3," March 2010, available at: [www.bundesbank.de/volkswirtschaft/zahlungsbilanzstatistik/2010/zahlungsbilanzstatistik032010.pdf](http://www.bundesbank.de/volkswirtschaft/zahlungsbilanzstatistik/2010/zahlungsbilanzstatistik032010.pdf). For comparator economies, UNCTAD's FDI/TNC database, available at: <http://stats.unctad.org/fdi/>; US Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Balance of Payments Statistics," available at: [www.bea.gov/international/xls/table1.xls](http://www.bea.gov/international/xls/table1.xls); Office for National Statistics, "Statistical Bulletin, Balance of payments, 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of 2009," available at: [www.statistics.gov.uk/pdfdir/bop0310.pdf](http://www.statistics.gov.uk/pdfdir/bop0310.pdf); Banque de France, "Bulletin de la Banque de France No. 178, 4<sup>ème</sup> trimestre 2009," available at: [www.banque-france.fr/fr/publications/telechar/bulletin/cahier-statistiques-03-2010.pdf](http://www.banque-france.fr/fr/publications/telechar/bulletin/cahier-statistiques-03-2010.pdf); JETRO (Japan External Trade Organization), "Japanese Trade and Investment Statistics," [www.jetro.go.jp/en/reports/statistics/data/bpfdi02\\_e\\_1004.xls](http://www.jetro.go.jp/en/reports/statistics/data/bpfdi02_e_1004.xls). Data converted from national currencies into US-Dollars, using annual average exchange rates from the International Monetary Fund, available at: [http://www.imf.org/external/np/fin/data/param\\_rms\\_mth.aspx](http://www.imf.org/external/np/fin/data/param_rms_mth.aspx).



**Annex table 3. Germany: distribution of inward FDI stock, by economic sector and industry, <sup>a</sup> 2000, 2008**

(US\$ billion)

Sector/industry	2000	2008
<b>All sectors/industries</b>	<b>271.6</b>	<b>666.1</b>
<b>Primary</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>5.3</b>
Agriculture, hunting, forestry, and fishing	0.2	0.3
Mining, quarrying and petroleum	1.2	5.0
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>86.4</b>	<b>231.6</b>
Food, beverages and tobacco	5.1	20.9
Chemicals and chemical products	18.4	54.8
Rubber and plastic products	4.0	8.4
Other non-metallic mineral products	3.3	9.5
Basic metals	3.4	11.6
Fabricated metal products, except machinery and equipment	3.2	7.5
Machinery and equipment	8.9	26.7
Electrical machinery and apparatus	4.6	8.2
Radio, television and communication equipment	8.3	20.2
Medical, precision and optical instruments	3.3	14.3
Motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers	11.3	18.6
<b>Services</b>	<b>183.8</b>	<b>429.2</b>
Electricity, gas, and water supply	2.3	13.8
Trade, repair of motor vehicles, motorcycles and personal and household goods	35.7	74.6
Transport and communication	6.5	60.3
Finance and insurance	41.9	101.5
of which: Monetary Intermediation	14.2	54.3
Other monetary intermediation	22.2	18.0
Insurance and pension funding (except compulsory social security)	5.1	29.2
Real estate, renting and business activities	93.6	169.0
of which: Holding companies	75.2	101.2

Source: Deutsche Bundesbank, "Bestandserhebung über Direktinvestitionen," *Statistische Sonderveröffentlichung*, April 10, 2010, available at: [www.bundesbank.de](http://www.bundesbank.de).

<sup>a</sup> Primary and secondary (i.e., through dependent domestic holding companies) foreign direct investment in Germany (consolidated), by economic activity of the investment enterprise in Germany. Data converted from Euros into US-Dollars, using end of year exchange rates from the International Monetary Fund (available at: [http://www.imf.org/external/np/fin/data/param\\_rms\\_mth.aspx](http://www.imf.org/external/np/fin/data/param_rms_mth.aspx)).

**Annex table 4. Germany: geographical distribution of inward FDI stock, <sup>a</sup> 2000, 2008**

(US\$ billion)

<b>Region/economy</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>World</b>	<b>271.6</b>	<b>666.1</b>
<b>Developed economies</b>	<b>264.8</b>	<b>643.0</b>
<b>Europe</b>	.	<b>557.2</b>
Austria	6.8	23.0
Belgium	6.0	9.6
Denmark	3.7	5.7
Finland	1.9	7.1
France	26.9	61.9
Norway	1.6	2.9
Ireland	0.9	4.2
Italy	3.9	47.3
Luxembourg	41.8	97.3
Netherlands	57.0	151.8
Spain	1.7	10.7
Sweden	7.7	19.9
Switzerland	21.6	43.1
United Kingdom	18.2	57.9
<i>Memorandum item:</i>		
<i>European Union</i>	<i>176.7</i>	<i>500.2</i>
<i>European Monetary Union</i>	<i>146.9</i>	<i>416.1</i>
<b>North America</b>	<b>41.5</b>	<b>71.0</b>
Canada	2.3	3.8
United States	39.2	67.2
<b>Other developed economies</b>	.	
Australia	0.1	1.3
Japan	9.5	19.5
<b>Developing economies</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>23.1</b>
<b>Africa</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>
South-Africa	0.8	1.7
<b>Asia and Oceania</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>10.7</b>
China	.	0.8
India	0.1	0.4
Iran	0.7	1.8
Korea, Rep. of	1.7	5.1
<b>Latin America and the Caribbean</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>4.6</b>
Bermuda	0.4	1.7
Brazil	0.1	0.3
<b>South-East Europe and CIS</b>	.	
Russia	0.7	6.0

Source: Deutsche Bundesbank, "Bestandserhebung über Direktinvestitionen," *Statistische Sonderveröffentlichung*, April 10, 2010, available at: [www.bundesbank.de](http://www.bundesbank.de).

<sup>a</sup> Primary and secondary (i.e., through dependent domestic holding companies) foreign direct investment in Germany (consolidated). Data converted from Euros into US-Dollars, using end of year exchange rates from the International Monetary Fund (available at: [http://www.imf.org/external/np/fin/data/param\\_rms\\_mth.aspx](http://www.imf.org/external/np/fin/data/param_rms_mth.aspx)).

**Annex table 5. Germany: Main non-financial foreign affiliates, ranked by foreign assets, 2008**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Industry</b>	<b>Value added (US\$ million)</b>	<b>Employees</b>
1	Shell Deutschland	Mineral oil	44,906	4,300
2	Deutsche BP AG	Mineral oil	43,892	5,800
3	Ford Werke GmbH	Automobiles	28,944	29,800
4	Adam Opel GmbH	Automobiles	21,597	20,300
5	Vattenfall Europe AG	Energy	19,800	21,200
6	Total Deutschland	Mineral oil	18,020	4,000
7	Exxon Mobil	Mineral oil	17,800	3,400
8	Vodafone D2	Telecommunications	13,843	15,000
9	OMV Deutschland	Mineral oil	9,293	610
10	C&A	Warehouses	9,266	34,000
11	Airbus Deutschland GmbH	Aeroplanes	8,589	22,000
12	Hewlett-Packard Deutschland	Computer and electronics	7,376	8,600
13	Kion Group	Material handling	6,698	21,000
14	Sanofi-Aventis	Pharmaceutics	5,883	10,000
15	Procter & Gamble	Consumer goods	5,516	15,000
16	Telefonica O2	Telecommunications	5,286	4,700
17	Nestlé	Food	5,274	12,400

Sources: *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, "Deutschlands größte Unternehmen in Zahlen," July 8, 2009, available at: FAZ.net, and companies' websites.

**Annex table 6. Germany: main M&A deals, by inward investing firm, 2007-2009**

Year	Acquiring company	Investor economy	Target company	Target industry	Shares owned after transaction (%)	Transaction value (US\$ million)
2009	Qatar Investment Authority	Qatar	Volkswagen AG	Motor vehicles	17.0	9,569.5
2009	Verbund	Austria	E On AG Hydro	Electricity	100.0	1,931.6
2009	IPIC	United Arab Emirates	MAN Ferrostaal AG	Machinery and equipment	70.0	951.4
2009	Electrabel SA-Coal &Electricity	Belgium	E On AG Farge und Zolling	Electricity	100.0	686.1
2009	Investor Group	Czech Republic	Mibrag	Coal mining and energy	100.0	513.9
2009	Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc	United States	Brahms AG	Medical and biotechnology	100.0	470.6
2009	Honeywell International Inc	United States	RMG Regel- und Messtechnik GmbH	Electrical machinery and apparatus	100.0	400.0
2008	Banque Federative du Credit Mutuel	France	Citibank Privatkunden AG&Co KGaA	Banking	100.0	6,617.5
2008	Whitehall Street Fund	United States	LEG Landesentwicklungsgesellschaft NRW GmbH	Real estate	100.0	5,255.0
2008	CVC Capital Partners Ltd	Luxembourg	Evonik Industries AG	Electricity	25.0	3,705.4
2008	Cie de Saint Gobain SA	France	Maxit Holding GmbH	Building materials	100.0	3,270.8
2008	Xella International SPV	France	Xella International GmbH	Building materials	100.0	3,183.7
2008	Eaton Corp	United States	Moeller Holding GmbH & Co KG	Electrical machinery	100.0	2,220.0
2008	Unicredito Italiano SpA	Italy	Bayerische Hypo- und Vereinsbank	Finance	100.0	1,891.5
2008	HRE Investment Holdings LP	Cayman Islands	Hypo Real Estate Holding AG	Finance	24.9	1,796.4
2007	Mylan Laboratories Inc	United States	Merck KGaA-Generic Drugs	Pharmaceuticals	100.0	6,627.9
2007	Nycomed A/S	Denmark	Altana AG-pharmaceutical business	Pharmaceuticals	100.0	5,753.2
2007	UCB SA	Belgium	Schwarz Pharma AG	Biological products	87.6	4,772.7
2007	Lavena Holding 4 GmbH	United States	ProSiebenSat.1 Media AG	Media	50.5	4,100.0
2007	Red & Black Lux Sarl	Italy	Hugo Boss AG	Clothing	88.0	2,842.8
2007	Sapardis SA	France	Puma AG	Sports wear	62.1	2,500.9
2007	Investor Group	United Kingdom	Aurealis Real Estate GmbH	Real estate	100.0	2,231.3

Source: Thomson ONE Banker, Thomson Reuters.

**Annex table 7. Germany: main announced greenfield projects, by inward investing firm, 2007-2009**

(US\$ million)

Year	Company name	Source economy	Investment	Industry	Business activity
2009	ConocoPhillips	United States	2,500.0	Coal, oil and natural gas	Manufacturing
2009	Texas Instruments	United States	1,039.0 <sup>a</sup>	Semiconductors	Manufacturing
2009	Gazprom	Russia	986.1	Coal, oil and natural gas	Logistics, distribution and transportation
2009	Nord Stream AG	Switzerland	599.6 <sup>a</sup>	Coal, oil and natural gas	Logistics, distribution and transportation
2009	Multi Development	Netherlands	599.6	Real estate	Construction
2009	Green Wind Energy	Denmark	568.7 <sup>a</sup>	Alternative/renewable energy	Electricity
2009	GDF SUEZ	France	526.2 <sup>a</sup>	Coal, oil and natural gas	Extraction
2008	Vattenfall	Sweden	1557.0	Coal, oil and natural gas	Manufacturing
2008	Blackstone Group	United States	1544.0	Alternative/renewable energy	Electricity
2008	Bulberry Properties	Ireland	1240.0	Real estate	Construction
2008	Econcern	Netherlands	1078.0	Alternative/renewable energy	Electricity
2008	Advanced Technology Investment Company	United Arab Emirates	1,039.0 <sup>a</sup>	Semiconductors	Manufacturing
2008	Minera S.A.	United States	993.5	Metals	Extraction
2008	Intico solar	Austria	954.5	Electronic components	Manufacturing
2007	Suez	France	1,463.0	Coal, oil and natural gas	Electricity
2007	ING Group	Netherlands	1,262.9	Real estate	Construction
2007	Sirenza Microdevices	United States	1,039.2 <sup>a</sup>	Semiconductors	Manufacturing
2007	Morgan Stanley	United States	872.7	Real Estate	Construction
2007	Gazprom	Russia	616.5	Coal, oil and natural gas	Logistics, distribution and transportation
2007	Gazprom	Russia	542.7	Coal, oil and natural gas	Electricity
2007	Abengoa	Spain	525.0	Alternative/renewable energy	Manufacturing

Source: fDi Intelligence, a service from the Financial Times Ltd.

<sup>a</sup> Estimated.