

YEARBOOK ON
INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT
LAW & POLICY
2013–2014

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

YEARBOOK ON INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT LAW & POLICY

ANDREA K. BJORKLUND, EDITOR

L. YVES FORTIER CHAIR IN INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION AND INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL LAW,

MCGILL UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF LAW, MONTREAL

SENIOR FELLOW, COLUMBIA CENTER ON SUSTAINABLE INVESTMENT

(CCSI), NEW YORK

DANIEL LITWIN, MANAGING EDITOR

RESEARCH FELLOW, CCSI

ADVISORY BOARD

JOSÉ E. ALVAREZ
New York University School of Law, New York City

RUDOLF DOLZER
University of Bonn

EMMANUEL GAILLARD
Shearman & Sterling LLP, Paris

GABRIELLE KAUFMANN-KOHLER
University of Geneva Law School

ANDREAS F. LOWENFELD, D. JUNE 2014
New York University School of Law, New York City

THEODORE H. MORAN
Georgetown School of Foreign Service, Washington, D.C.

DANIEL M. PRICE
Rock Creek Global Advisors LLC, Washington, D.C.

MANFRED SCHEKULIN
*Austrian Federal Ministry of Economy,
Family and Youth, Vienna*

STEPHEN M. SCHWEBEL
Independent Arbitrator and Counsel, Washington, D.C.

DETLEV F. VAGTS, D. AUGUST 2013
Harvard Law School, Cambridge

LOUIS T. WELLS
Harvard Business School, Boston

GEORGE A. BERMAN
Columbia Law School, New York City

AHMED S. EL KOSHERI
Kosheri, Rashed and Riad, Cairo

MICHAEL HWANG, SC
Barrister & Arbitrator, Singapore

CAROLYN B. LAMM
White & Case LLP, Washington, D.C.

PETROS C. MAVROIDIS
Columbia Law School, New York City

JAN PAULSSON
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP, Paris

W. MICHAEL REISMAN
Yale Law School, New Haven

CHRISTOPH SCHREUER
Zeiler Partners, Vienna

MUTHUCUMARASWAMY SORNARAJAH
National University Singapore Law School

FRANCISCO ORREGO VICUÑA
Heidelberg Center, Santiago

KARL P. SAUVANT, FOUNDING EDITOR OF THE YEARBOOK
Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, New York

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

N. JANSEN CALAMITA
*Investment Treaty Forum,
British Institute of International and Comparative Law, London*

LISE JOHNSON
CCSI, New York

UCHEORA ONWUAMAEGBU
Arent Fox, LL.P., Washington, DC

LISA E. SACHS
CCSI, New York

PETER MUCHLINSKI
School of Oriental and African Studies Law School, London

FEDERICO ORTINO
King's College London School of Law

ABBY COHEN SMUTNY
White & Case LLP, Washington, D.C.

COLUMBIA LAW SCHOOL EDITORIAL STAFF

NICCOLÒ PIETRO CASTAGNO, SENIOR EDITOR

ENO USORO, SENIOR EDITOR

V. GRACE DAVIS, EDITOR

FARRUKH MALIK, EDITOR

HIROYUKI OTA, EDITOR

GABRIELA LOPEZ, EDITOR

CLAUDIE TIREFORT, EDITOR

MCGILL UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF LAW EDITORIAL STAFF

SARAH KETTANI, EDITOR

ADAM PLENKIEWICZ, EDITOR

DAVID ST-ONGE, EDITOR

ALEXANDER SPRAGGS, EDITOR

LUKAS VANHONNAEKER, EDITOR

PEER REVIEWERS

The Editorial Committee of the *Investment Yearbook* thanks all those who helped in the preparation of this publication and especially the peer reviewers, who include:

Reuven Avi-Yonah	Josh Kallmer	Jeswald Salacuse
Lorand Bartels	Mark Kantor	Karl Sauvant
Bertram Boie	Meg Kinnear	Jeremy Sharpe
Jonathan Bonnitcha	Céline Lévesque	Muthucumaraswamy
Anna Joubin-Bret	Roberto Aguirre Luzi	Sornarajah
Lee M. Caplan	Kate Miles	Margrete Stevens
Aaron Cosbey	Timothy Nelson	Leon Trakman
Rudolf Dolzer	Luke Nottage	Anne van Aaken
Zachary Douglas	Martins Paparinskis	Samuel Wordsworth
Mike Gerrard	Joost Pauwelyn	Katia Yannaca-Small
Andrew Guzman	Miguel Perez	
Justin Jacinto	Matthew Porterfield	

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

COLUMBIA CENTER ON SUSTAINABLE INVESTMENT

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) is a leading applied research center and forum for the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. The CCSI focuses on analyzing important topical policy-oriented issues and constructing and implementing an investment framework that promotes sustainable development and the mutual trust needed for long-term investments that can be practically adopted by governments, companies and civil society. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multistakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. The Center's website is found at <http://ccsi.columbia.edu/>.

YEARBOOK ON
INTERNATIONAL
INVESTMENT
LAW & POLICY
2013–2014

EDITED BY

Andrea K. Bjorklund

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide.

Oxford New York

Auckland Cape Town Dar es Salaam Hong Kong Karachi Kuala Lumpur Madrid
Melbourne Mexico City Nairobi New Delhi Shanghai Taipei Toronto

With offices in

Argentina Austria Brazil Chile Czech Republic France Greece Guatemala Hungary
Italy Japan Poland Portugal Singapore South Korea Switzerland Thailand
Turkey Ukraine Vietnam

Oxford is a registered trademark of Oxford University Press in the UK and certain other countries.

Published in the United States of America by

Oxford University Press

198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016

© Oxford University Press 2015

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law, by license, or under terms agreed with the appropriate reproduction rights organization. Inquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above.

You must not circulate this work in any other form
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer.

ISBN 978-0-19-026577-9

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper

Note to Readers

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is based upon sources believed to be accurate and reliable and is intended to be current as of the time it was written. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought. Also, to confirm that the information has not been affected or changed by recent developments, traditional legal research techniques should be used, including checking primary sources where appropriate.

(Based on the Declaration of Principles jointly adopted by a Committee of the American Bar Association and a Committee of Publishers and Associations.)

If you are interested in contributing content to be considered for future editions of the *Yearbook on International Investment Law & Policy*, please contact us at laweditorial@oup.com

You may order this or any other Oxford University Press publication by visiting the Oxford University Press website at www.oup.com

If you would like to be placed on Standing Order status for the *Yearbook on International Investment Law & Policy* whereby you will automatically receive and be billed for new annual volumes as they publish, please contact a Customer Service Representative.

In the United States, Canada, Mexico, Central and South America, contact:

Customer Service

Oxford University Press USA

2001 Evans Road

Cary, NC 27513

Email: custserv.us@oup.com

Phone (toll free in US): 1-866-445-8685

Phone (international customers): 1-919-677-0977

Fax: 1-919-677-1303

In the United Kingdom, Europe, and Rest of World, contact:

Customer Service

Oxford University Press

Saxon Way West, Corby

Northants, NN18 9ES

United Kingdom Email: bookorders.uk@oup.com

Phone: +44 1536 741017

Fax: +44 1536 454518

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Submission Policy xxv
Contributors xxvii
Foreword by Louis T. Wells xxxiii
Preface by the Editorial Committee xxxvii

PART ONE

1. Trends in International Investment and the Activities of Multinational Enterprises: 2013–2014 3
Michael V. Gestrin
2. International Investment Agreements, 2013: A Review of Trends and New Approaches 25
Lise Johnson and Lisa Sachs
3. International Investment Law and Arbitration: 2013 in Review 69
Ian A. Laird, Borzu Sabahi, Frédéric G. Sourgens, Nicholas J. Birch, Kabir Duggal, and Joanna Coyne

PART TWO

- British Institute of International and Comparative Law
- Introduction 155
N. Jansen Calamita

4. The Principle of Proportionality and the Problem of Indeterminacy in International Investment Treaties 157

N. Jansen Calamita

5. Proportionality, Reasonableness, and Standards of Review in Investment Treaty Arbitration 201

Valentina Vadi

6. Role of Investors' Legitimate Expectations in Defense of Investment Treaty Claims 229

Claudia Annacker

PART THREE

General Articles

7. Balancing Investor Protection and Regulatory Freedom in International Investment Law: The Necessary, Complex, and Vital Search for State Purpose 251

Jürgen Kurtz

8. Jurisprudential Interaction between ICSID Tribunals and the International Court of Justice 305

Jure Zrilič

9. The Migration of Constitutional Ideas: The Strange Case of Proportionality in International Investment Law and Arbitration 337

Valentina Vadi

10. Inconsistency in Investor-State Awards and the Role of State Interpretations: The Example of the Mexican Sweetener Trio of Cases under NAFTA 361

Céline Lévesque

11. States Strike Back—Old and New Ways for Host States to Defend against Investment Arbitrations 401

Lars Markert and Catharine Titi

12. Revisiting the Countermeasures Defense in Investor-State Disputes: Approach and Analogies 437

Preeti Bhagnani

13. The Political Economy of Crises and the International Law of Necessity after the Great Recession 473

Alberto Alvarez-Jimenez

- 14. Minilateral Treaty-Making in International Investment Law** 507
Maninder Malli
- 15. Do Investment Promotion Agencies Promote Bilateral Investment Treaties?** 529
Jason Yackee
- 16. The Trend toward Open Contracting: Applicability and Implications for International Investment Agreements** 553
Lindsey Marchessault and Michael Jarvis
- 17. New Regulations on Foreign Acquisitions of Land in Brazil and Argentina** 569
Martin Delaroché

PART FOUR

- Special Section: Winning Memorials from the 2013 Foreign Direct Investment International Moot Competition (FDI Moot)**
- 18. Winning Claimant Memorial: National Law University, Delhi** 621
- 19. Winning Respondent Memorial: University of Buenos Aires** 655

DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

Submission Policy xxv

Contributors xxvii

Foreword by Louis T. Wells xxxiii

Preface by the Editorial Committee xxxvii

PART ONE

1. Trends in International Investment and the Activities of Multinational Enterprises: 2013–2014 3

Introduction 3

A. Trends 4

1. Global Overview 4

2. Regional Trends 7

3. Foreign Direct Divestment 9

B. Vectors of Structural Change in the Global Investment System 12

1. The Rise of the MNSOE 12

2. International Investment and Global Value Chains 17

Conclusions 20

2. International Investment Agreements, 2013: A Review of Trends and New Approaches 25

Introduction 25

A. Public Debate on Investment Policy 28

B. The “Other” IIAs 31

1. Investor Protections 32

a. Substantive Protections 32

b. Procedural Protections 35

2. Investment Promotion, Economic Cooperation, and Sustainable Development	38
a. Effective Enforcement, Non-derogation, and Non-lowering of Environmental or Labor Standards	39
b. New Development: Non-Waiver of the Right to Regulate in the Public Interest	41
c. Strengthening of Standards and Their Implementation	42
d. Economic Development	43
e. Consultations, Compliance, and Enforcement	45
3. The Larger Picture	48
C. Canada in Africa: Heightened BIT Activity That Tracks Investments in the Extractive Industries	52
1. Performance Requirements	54
2. Protection against Breach of Investor-State Contracts	56
3. Incorporating Considerations of Domestic Policy and Corporate Social Responsibility in Investment Treaties	58
D. The UNCITRAL Transparency Rules and Convention	59
1. Content of the Transparency Rules	61
2. Application of the Transparency Rules	61
3. The Transparency Convention	62
4. A Model for Broader Reform?	64
Conclusion	64
3. International Investment Law and Arbitration: 2013 in Review	69
A. Jurisdiction	69
1. Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Materiae</i>	73
a. Abuse of Process	73
b. Treaty Standards	77
i. Allegations of Illegality	77
ii. ICSID Convention	84
iii. Territorial Link	87
iv. Sovereign Bonds	90
2. Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Voluntatis</i>	91
a. Subject-Matter Limitation of Investment Treaty	91
b. Mass Claims/Multi-Party Proceedings	92
c. Jurisdiction by Means of Most-Favored Nations Clauses	94
B. Merits	100
1. Fair and Equitable Treatment	102
a. Legitimate Expectations	105
b. Proportionality	110
c. Denial of Justice	111
d. Discriminatory and Arbitrary Treatment	112

2. Full Protection and Security	113
3. Umbrella Clause	114
4. Expropriation	115
C. Compensation and Non-pecuniary Remedies	117
1. General Principle of Reparation	118
2. Non-pecuniary Remedies	119
3. Compensation for Expropriation: Lawful vs. Unlawful Distinction	121
4. Compensation for Violation of Non-expropriation Protections in Investment Treaties	123
5. Valuation of Damages	124
a. Valuation Standards	124
b. Valuation Methods	124
6. Date of Valuation	128
7. Moral Damages	128
8. Punitive Damages	131
9. Interest	132
10. Currency of the Award	134
11. Arbitration Costs and Legal Representation Costs	135
12. Limitations on Compensation	137
a. Causality and Remoteness of Damages	137
b. Discretion and Award for Damages	138
D. Procedure, Enforcement, and Annulment	139
1. Burden/Standard of Proof	139
a. General Principle	139
b. Burden of Proof and Jurisdictional Matters	140
c. Burden of Proof and Nationality	140
d. Burden of Proof and Document Production	140
2. Challenges to Arbitrators	141
a. Challenges to Arbitrators and Repeat Appointments	141
b. Challenges to Arbitrators and Issue Conflicts	142
c. ICSID's Manifest Lack of Qualities	144
d. Challenges to Arbitrator and Swiss Verein Structures of Law Firms	145
3. Provisional/Interim Measures	146
a. Provisional Measures and Statements to Media/Amicable Settlement	146
b. Provisional Measures and Security Issues	146
c. Provisional Measures and Advance Costs	147
d. Provisional Measures and the Detention of a Ship Vessel	147
4. Third-Party Funding	148

- 5. Precedential Value of Earlier Decisions/Jurisprudence
 - Constante 148
 - a. General Rule 148
 - b. Prior Cases and the MFN Debate 149
- 6. Annulment and Enforcement of Awards 150
 - a. Annulment Proceedings 150
 - b. Enforcement Proceedings 151

PART TWO—BRITISH INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL AND COMPARATIVE LAW

Introduction 155

4. The Principle of Proportionality and the Problem of Indeterminacy in International Investment Treaties 157

Introduction 157

- A. International Investment Treaties and the Problem of Indeterminacy 160
 - 1. Standards and Rules and the Need for Constitutional Values 160
 - 2. Standards and Rules in Investment Treaties and the Constitutional Deficit 163
 - B. The Problematic Principle of Proportionality 167
 - 1. The Normative Content of Proportionality 172
 - 2. The Consequentialist Assumption of the Commensurability of the State's Interests and the Individual's Rights 173
 - 3. Open Questions about Weight and Decision-making Competence 175
 - 4. Proportionality and Standards of Review 176
 - C. A Proportionality Case Study: The Constitutional Character of Proportionality in the European Court of Human Rights 178
 - D. Proportionality and Its Invocation and Use in Investor-State Arbitration 182
 - 1. A Review of the Principal Awards 182
 - a. *S.D. Myers* and *Pope & Talbot v. Canada* 182
 - b. *Tecmed* and Its Progeny 185
 - c. *Occidental Petroleum v. Ecuador* 189
 - 2. Summary: The Problems, Limitations, and Hazards of Transplanting the Principle of Proportionality into International Investment Law 192
 - E. Closing Considerations about the Persistent Problem of Indeterminacy and the Developing “New Normal” of Investment Treaty Arrangements 195
- Conclusion 200

5. Proportionality, Reasonableness, and Standards of Review in Investment Treaty Arbitration 201

- Introduction 201
- A. Proportionality 202
 - 1. The Merits of Proportionality 204
 - 2. Against Proportionality 205
- B. Reasonableness 208
 - 1. The Merits of Reasonableness 211
 - 2. Against Reasonableness 214
 - 3. Reasonableness in Investment Treaty Arbitration 216
- C. Standards of Review 220
- D. Proportionality, Reasonableness, and the Standards of Review 225
- Conclusions 227

6. Role of Investors' Legitimate Expectations in Defense of Investment Treaty Claims 229

- A. Sources of Legitimate Expectations 231
 - 1. Rights and Corresponding Obligations under Domestic Law 231
 - 2. Representations of the Host State 233
 - 3. Forbearance by the Host State 235
 - 4. *Ultra Vires* and *Contra Legem* Acts and Representations 236
- B. Defenses Based on the Absence of Legitimate Expectations 240
 - 1. Jurisdictional Defenses 240
 - 2. Merits Defenses 241
 - a. Expropriation Claims 242
 - b. FET Claims 244
 - c. Umbrella Clause Claims 246
 - 3. Defenses Regarding the Amount of Compensation 246
- Conclusion 247

PART THREE—GENERAL ARTICLES

7. Balancing Investor Protection and Regulatory Freedom in International Investment Law: The Necessary, Complex, and Vital Search for State Purpose 251

- Introduction 251
- A. Likeness, Competition, and Motive Review: The Legal and Normative Case 255
- B. Sensitivity and Scope: Distinguishing Regulatory Design vs. Application 276

- C. Operationalizing an Inquiry into State Purpose 281
 - 1. “Subjective” and “Objective” Evidence of State Purpose 281
 - 2. Text, Structure, and Effect of Measure 286
 - 3. Legislative History 288
 - 4. Timing: *Ex Post* Justification? 290
 - 5. Domestic Court Rulings 292
 - 6. External Justification 294
 - 7. Multiple Purposes 300
- Conclusion 302
- 8. Jurisprudential Interaction between ICSID Tribunals and the International Court of Justice 305**
 - Introduction 305
 - A. The Status and the Value of ICSID and ICJ Precedents in ICSID Arbitration 307
 - 1. The Legal Status of a Precedent 307
 - 2. The Value of a Precedent 311
 - a. Relevance of a Precedent 311
 - b. The Quality of the Reasoning of a Precedent 313
 - c. Reputation of the Adjudicator 315
 - d. Which Weighs More? 317
 - B. Dynamics of the Jurisprudential Interaction between ICSID Tribunals and the ICJ 318
 - 1. The Hierarchical Relationship 319
 - 2. Degree of Reciprocal Engagement 321
 - 3. The Function 324
 - a. Fulfilling the Mandate of Resolving a Dispute 324
 - b. Enhancing Persuasiveness, Authority, or Legitimacy of Individual Decisions 325
 - c. Cross-Fertilization 325
 - e. Contributing to the Coherent Development of General International Law 327
 - C. Grounds for the Jurisprudential Interaction 328
 - 1. Normative Linkages 329
 - a. Norms Directly Establishing the Relationship between Two Courts or Tribunals 329
 - b. The Same Applicable Law 331
 - c. Multi-Sourced Equivalent Norms 332
 - 2. Institutional Linkages 334
 - Conclusion 335
- 9. The Migration of Constitutional Ideas: The Strange Case of Proportionality in International Investment Law and Arbitration 337**
 - Introduction 337

A. The Migration of Constitutional Ideas	340
B. Proportionality: A Cosmopolitan Destiny?	346
C. Proportionality in International Investment Arbitration	349
D. Critical Assessment	353
E. Theories and Methods of Comparative Constitutional Law: Function vs. Culture?	356
Conclusions	359
10. Inconsistency in Investor-State Awards and the Role of State Interpretations: The Example of the Mexican Sweetener Trio of Cases under NAFTA	361
Introduction	361
A. Background on Non-disputing Party Submissions and on the Sweetener Cases	366
1. Article 1128 Submissions Process	366
a. Arguments and Holdings Related to Article 1128	367
b. Issues and Challenges Related to Article 1128 Submissions	370
2. Facts and Legal Context	372
a. The NAFTA, Sugar, and HFCS in the mid-1990s	373
b. The Mexican Sugar Crisis, Resulting Protective Measures, and Challenge	374
B. Relationship between NAFTA's Investment and Trade Obligations: A Question of Jurisdiction or Damages Evaluation?	376
1. Context and State Parties' Prior Submissions	376
2. Sweetener Tribunals' Holdings	378
a. Inconsistent Holdings: <i>ADM v. Cargill</i>	379
b. Court Decisions Rejecting Set-Aside of the <i>Cargill</i> Award	382
3. Two Outcomes, Divergent Approaches, and Key Question	384
C. Relationship between IIAs and International Customary Law on Countermeasures: A Debate on the Nature of Investors' Rights	386
1. Context and State Parties' Prior Submissions	386
2. Sweetener Tribunals Holdings	389
a. <i>ADM</i> and the "Intermediate Theory" of Investor Rights	390
b. <i>CPI</i> and the Direct and Substantive Theory of Rights	392
c. <i>Cargill</i> —A Variation on the Direct Theory of Rights	394
3. One Outcome, Two Models, and Many Disagreements	395
Conclusion	398

11. States Strike Back—Old and New Ways for Host States to Defend against Investment Arbitrations	401
A. Jurisdiction and Admissibility	402
1. Definition and Legality of the Investment	403
2. Corruption	405
3. Hurdles at the Jurisdictional Stage	406
a. Fork-in-the-Road Clauses	406
b. Waiver Clauses	407
c. Local Remedies Clauses	408
d. Waiting Clauses	409
e. Procedural Trends	410
4. Most-Favored Nation Clauses and Procedural or Jurisdictional Requirements	410
5. Pre-Jurisdictional Phase Summary Dismissal of Cases	411
6. Conclusion on Jurisdiction and Admissibility	412
B. Merits	413
1. Right to Regulate	413
2. Delineating the Scope of Substantive Provisions	415
C. Post-Award Phase/Enforcement	418
1. Fundamental Use of the Annulment Process or Set-Aside Proceedings	418
2. Non-payment of Arbitral Awards	420
3. Initiation of State-to-State Dispute Settlement	421
D. Other Defenses	422
1. Anti-suit Injunctions and Interference by Host State Courts and Authorities	423
2. Counterclaims	424
3. Delay	426
4. Political Pressure	426
E. Influence of States on the System: Changing the Rules of the Game	427
1. Denunciation of ICSID Convention and Termination of BITs	427
2. Treaty Renegotiation and Revision of Model Treaties	428
3. Discontinuing Investor-State Dispute Settlement	430
4. Influence on the ICSID Mechanism	430
5. Adoption of National Legislation Hostile to Investment Protection	432
6. Introducing Investor Obligations, Including CSR	433
7. Professionalization of Defenses/Financing of Arbitration Claims	434
Conclusion	435

12. Revisiting the Countermeasures Defense in Investor-State**Disputes: Approach and Analogies 437**

Introduction 437

A. Countermeasures and Third Parties under the ILC's Articles on State Responsibility 440

B. Contemporary Conceptions of Investors' Rights 443

1. Case Law 444

2. Implications for Enforcement of International Obligations 447

3. Analysis and Alternative Approach 448

C. Policy Implications of a "Qualified Rights" Approach 452

1. "Depoliticization" of Investment Disputes 452

2. Continuing Significance of Investors' Substantive Rights 454

D. Comparative Contract Law 455

E. International Human Rights Law 460

1. Investors' Rights and Fundamental Human Rights 461

2. Investment Protection Obligations and Human Rights Obligation 462

F. Jurisdictional Limits of Investor-State Tribunals 465

Conclusion 471

13. The Political Economy of Crises and the International Law of Necessity after the Great Recession 473

A. Some Aspects of the Political Economy of Economic Crises in the Aftermath of the Great Recession 474

1. Economic Crises and Political Fragmentation 474

2. The Different Phases in the Unfolding of Economic Crises 475

a. Crisis Prevention or Crisis Denial 476

b. Crisis Containment: "Calamity When It Comes, It Comes in a Rush" (Philip Roth, *The Plot Against America*) 476

c. Crisis Management/Resolution 478

d. Prevention of the Next Crisis 481

3. Other Political Dimensions of the Great Recession 482

a. Efficiency vs. Transparency in the Resolution of Economic Crises 482

b. Inter-Agency Criticism, Admission of Errors, and Unilateral Declarations Admitting Contributions to Crises 484

B. The Political Economy of Crises and Its Impact on the Interpretation of BIT Non-precluded Measures Clauses and the Customary Rule of Necessity 486

1. The Politics of the Great Recession and Its Impact on the Requirement of Lack of State Contribution to Crises in BITs and in Customary International Law 488

a. Political Gridlocks and State Contribution after the Great Recession 491

b.	Regulatory Forbearance and State Contribution	493
c.	Unilateral Declarations, Admissions of Errors, Inter-Agency Criticisms, and State Contribution to the State of Necessity	494
i.	Unilateral Declarations Tacitly or Explicitly Admitting the Contribution	494
ii.	Admission of Errors and State Contribution	497
iii.	Inter-Agency Criticism and State Contributions	497
d.	Contribution to the State of Necessity in Protracted Crises	498
2.	The Political Economy of the Great Recession and the Requirement of Uniqueness of the Measures under the Customary Rule of Necessity	499
a.	The Kind of Competent Authority as a Criterion to Determine the Existence of Alternative Means	500
b.	Alternative Measures during the Containment Phase	502
c.	Protracted Crises and the Temporal Dynamic of the Relevance of the Uniqueness Requirement	502
3.	Prevention of the Next Crisis and Non-precluded Measures Clauses and the Customary Rule of Necessity	503
	Conclusions	503
14.	Minilateral Treaty-Making: International Investment Law	507
	Introduction	507
A.	Assessing Multilateralism in IIL	508
1.	The Lack of a Broad Multilateral Framework	508
2.	Overview of the Existing IIA Network	511
3.	Multilateral Dimensions of the Existing System	514
4.	The Shifting Global Economic Landscape	516
B.	Minilateral Approaches to IIL	518
1.	Minilateralism in IIL	518
2.	Progressive Treaty Provisions	521
3.	Potential to Reduce Atomization	524
	Conclusion	527
15.	Do Investment Promotion Agencies Promote Bilateral Investment Treaties?	529
A.	A Brief Introduction to BITs	530
B.	A Brief Introduction to IPAs	532
C.	Research Question and Design	534
D.	Results	536
	Concluding Thoughts	541

16. The Trend toward Open Contracting: Applicability and Implications for International Investment Agreements 553

- Introduction 553
- A. What Is Open Contracting? 554
- B. Emerging Practices of Open Contracting at Different Stages of the Contracting Cycle 555
- C. Government Motivations for Open Contracting in Investment Contracts 560
- D. What Has Been the Private Sector Response? 562
- E. Challenges to Effectiveness 564
- F. How Might Open Contracting Practices Affect Future Investments? 567

17. New Regulations on Foreign Acquisitions of Land in Brazil and Argentina 569

- Introduction 569
- A. Limiting Foreign Large-Scale Acquisitions of Land in Argentina and Brazil: Addressing Growing International Concerns 572
 - 1. The Place of Latin America in the Global Land Rush 572
 - a. Foreign Investors' Interest in Latin America's Land 572
 - b. The Presence of Foreign and Local Investors: A Diversity of Profiles 574
 - c. Is There "Land Grabbing" in Latin America? 576
 - 2. International Policy Framework to Guide Foreign Land Investments 577
 - a. The Policy Context for Land Investments: National Policies for Foreign Access to Land 577
 - b. International Policy and Legal Elements on Land 578
 - c. Policy Responses to the Global Land Rush: The Guidelines on Large-Scale Investments in Farmland 579
- B. Identified Reasons for Regulating Foreign Acquisitions of Land in Brazil and Argentina 581
 - 1. The Growing Concern over Foreign Ownership of Land in Eastern Latin America 581
 - a. Concentration of Land Ownership and Agricultural Value Chains 581
 - b. Increasing Foreign Ownership of Land or Increasing Presence of Foreigners: Two Distinct Questions 583
 - 2. Additional Reasons Motivating the Limitations of Foreign Ownership of Land 586
 - a. The Official Rationale Underlying the Regulation of Foreign Acquisition of Land in Brazil and Argentina 586

- b. The Sovereignty over Natural Resources and Food Security as a Backdrop for the Regulations 588
 - i. Sovereignty over Land and Water 588
 - ii. The Food Security Issue 592
- C. New Regulations on Foreign Acquisition of Land in Brazil and Argentina 593
 - 1. Evolution of the Regulatory Framework for Foreign Acquisition of Land in Brazil 593
 - a. 1964–1995: Stringent Limits on Foreign Acquisition of Land 594
 - b. 1995–2010: Liberalization of Foreign Acquisition of Lands 596
 - c. The 2010 New Legal Opinion and Its Impact on Foreign Acquisition of Land 598
 - 2. Argentina’s Law to Limit Foreign Acquisition of Land 600
 - a. Structure and Character of the Law 600
 - b. The Four-Stage Limitation on Foreign Ownership of Land 600
 - c. Territorial Scope of Application 602
 - d. Qualifications to be a Foreigner 603
 - e. Creation of the National Register on Rural Lands and of the Inter-Ministerial Council on Rural Lands 605
 - f. Effect of the Law 606
- D. Assessing the Impact of the New Regulatory Framework on Foreign Purchases of Land 606
 - 1. Impact of the New Regulatory Framework on Foreign Purchases of Rural Land 607
 - 2. Effectiveness of the New Regulations for Solving Foreigner-Related Problems 608
 - 3. Possibilities for Investors to Circumvent the Limitations 611
 - a. The Multiple Flaws of the Brazilian Regulation 611
 - i. Corporate Structures Contemplated by the Current Regulatory Framework in Brazil 611
 - ii. Examples of Circumventing Schemes in Brazil 612
 - b. Possibilities to Circumvent the Law in Argentina 613
 - 4. Likelihood of a New Law or Decree in Brazil 616
- Conclusion 617

**PART FOUR—SPECIAL SECTION: WINNING MEMORIALS
FROM THE 2013 FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT
INTERNATIONAL MOOT COMPETITION (FDI MOOT)**

- 18. Winning Claimant Memorial: National Law University, Delhi 621
- 19. Winning Respondent Memorial: University of Buenos Aires 655

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

SUBMISSION POLICY

The *Investment Yearbook* is an annual publication published by Oxford University Press in association with the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment. It draws on the guidance of a distinguished Advisory Board, ongoing engagement by an Editorial Committee consisting of leading academics in the field of investment law and policy, and on skillful work by an Editorial Staff of students from Columbia Law School and McGill University Faculty of Law.

The *Investment Yearbook* addresses legal and policy issues in the area of international investment—from national, regional, and international perspectives. The Editorial Committee invites for publication manuscripts that are of outstanding quality in terms of academic rigor, quality of the argument, originality, and contribution to the field of international investment law and policy. The *Investment Yearbook* will not consider a manuscript that has been published previously. Every manuscript that is considered for publication will be assessed through an external double-blind peer-review process. The style of the manuscripts should be in accordance with the OSCOLA Guidelines, as adapted to the *Yearbook* (available from the Editorial Committee).

The Editorial Committee welcomes the submission of manuscripts to the *Investment Yearbook*. Manuscripts should be electronically sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, the Editor, Prof. Andrea Bjorklund, or any member of the Editorial Committee.

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI): ccsi@law.columbia.edu

Andrea K. Bjorklund, Editor of the *Investment Yearbook*

andrea.bjorklund@mcgill.ca

Editorial Committee:

N. Jansen Calamita: n.j.calamita@biicl.org

Abby Cohen Smutny: asmutny@whitecase.com

Lise Johnson: Ljj2107@columbia.edu

Peter Muchlinski: pm29@soas.ac.uk

Ucheora Onwuamaegbu: ucheoral@gmail.com

Federico Ortino: federico.ortino@kcl.ac.uk

Lisa Sachs: lsachsl@law.columbia.edu

CONTRIBUTORS

Alberto Alvarez-Jimenez is a Colombian and Canadian national who lives and works in New Zealand. He holds a Doctor of Laws degree from the University of Ottawa law faculty, and an LL.M. from McGill University. Currently, he is a senior lecturer at the Faculty of Law of the University of Waikato and also an international consultant on international law. Professor Alvarez-Jimenez's research agenda concentrates on public international law, international trade law, and foreign investment law. He has published more than 25 articles in prominent peer-reviewed journals in the United States, Germany, the United Kingdom, Canada, the Netherlands, and Switzerland. In addition, he has presented papers at academic events in North America, Latin America, Europe, and Oceania. His publications on international investment law have appeared in a previous volume of this *Yearbook*, in the *American Review of International Arbitration*, the *Journal of World Investment and Trade*, and the *Journal of International Arbitration*. The chapter included in this volume is the second part of a project dealing with the impact of the current economic crisis on international investment law. The first, titled "The Great Recession and the New Frontiers of International Investment Law: the Economics of Early Warning Models and the Law of Necessity," was published in the *Journal of International Economic Law* (Vol. 17 No. 3, 517–550). Professor Alvarez-Jimenez can be reached at aalvarez@waikato.ac.nz.

Dr. Claudia Annacker is a partner based in the Paris office of Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP. Her practice focuses on international litigation and arbitration and public international law matters, including investor-state disputes, disputes involving international organizations, state succession issues, and state immunity, as well as disputes before the European Court of Human Rights. Her current work includes representing the Hellenic Republic, the French Republic, the Arab Republic of Egypt, Russia, and DP World in investment treaty arbitrations and the Republic of Iraq in an interstate arbitration against the Republic of Turkey. Dr. Annacker is a visiting professor at the Paris West University Nanterre and an adjunct professor at the University of Vienna. She is widely published on various aspects of public international law and European law and has also served as an arbitrator in international arbitrations.

Preeti Bhagnani (at the time of writing: LL.M. candidate, Columbia Law School) is an Associate in the International Arbitration Group at White & Case LLP. Ms. Bhagnani has served as counsel to sovereign and corporate entities in international commercial arbitrations and international investment disputes. She was formerly a Legal Counsel at Shell and a Senior Associate at Rajah & Tann LLP. She holds an LL.B. from the London School of Economics and Political Science and an LL.M. from Columbia Law School where she was a Kent Scholar and recipient of the Edwin Parker Prize for International or Comparative Law. She is admitted to the bar in Singapore and New York.

Nicholas J. Birch is an Associate at the Law Offices of Stewart and Stewart in Washington, D.C., and a J.D./M.B.A graduate from Georgetown University. Mr. Birch has practiced in trade remedies and international investment law and arbitration. He has also been involved in research and writing on international investment, arbitration, and trade law and development, and has been featured in multiple books and articles.

N. Jansen Calamita, Juris Doctor *summa cum laude* (Boston); Bachelor of Civil Law (Oxford). Mr. Calamita is Senior Research Fellow and Director of the Investment Treaty Forum at the British Institute of International and Comparative Law. He concurrently holds the position of lecturer in the University of Birmingham Law School. Mr. Calamita has served in the Office of the Legal Adviser in the U.S Department of State and in the UNCITRAL Secretariat. He continues to counsel governments on matters relating to the law of foreign investment and international dispute resolution. His research is in general public international law and the international law of investment.

Joanna Coyne is an associate in the International Dispute Resolution and Commercial Litigation groups in the Washington D.C. office of Crowell Moring LLP. In her practice, Joanna represents clients in a wide-range of complex litigation and arbitration matters, with a special focus on international disputes. Joanna has represented corporations and individuals in industries, including mining, tourism, construction, healthcare, and education in disputes before U.S. courts, administrative bodies, and international tribunals. Joanna is also an active participant in the firm's pro bono program and has focused on defending political asylum seekers, families in child custody disputes, and low-income tenants in eviction proceedings.

Martin Delaroche is a Ph.D. student in Public Policy, a Fulbright scholar, and a research assistant at the School of Public and Environmental Affairs (SPEA), the Department of Political Science, and the Ostrom Workshop at Indiana University, Bloomington. He is also a doctoral student in Geography at the Institut des Hautes Etudes de L'Amérique Latine (IHEAL) of the University Paris 3 Sorbonne-Nouvelle, France. His research focuses primarily on land property rights, large-scale land investments, and environmental degradation in Latin America. He holds master degrees in International Economic Law (University of Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, in partnership with Columbia Law School and Sciences Po Paris) and in Applied Economics (University of Paris 1).

Kabir Duggal is a senior associate in the International Arbitration group of Baker and McKenzie's New York office. His practice focuses on investor-state arbitration, commercial arbitration, and issues and disputes relating to public international law. Mr. Duggal is also a Lecturer-in-Law at the Columbia Law School, co-teaching "International Investment Arbitration." He serves as the head of the advisory team on matters relating to procedure on

Investmentclaims.com (Oxford University Press). He also serves on ICSID Review's Peer Review Board and is a Fellow at the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment. Mr. Duggal is a graduate of the University of Mumbai (University Medal), University of Oxford (DHL-Times of India Scholar), and NYU School of Law (Hauser Global Scholar). He is admitted to practice law in India, England and Wales, and New York.

Michael V. Gestrin is currently a senior economist in the Investment Division of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development in Paris, France. Previously he has held research and teaching positions at the University of Oxford, ESSEC Business School, the University of Toronto, and the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). Dr. Gestrin's main areas of research include the impact of global value chains on the organization of multinational enterprises, the globalization of state-owned enterprises, and global trends in foreign direct investment. He has a doctorate from the University of Oxford, where he examined the profitability of the international operations of multinational enterprises.

Michael Jarvis, Senior Private Sector Development Specialist with the World Bank, specializes in good governance, private sector roles in development, and multi-stakeholder approaches. Mr. Jarvis leads programming on good governance of extractive industries, including a focus on issues of contract disclosure. Building on this work, he has overseen development of a new global initiative on open contracting to promote better outcomes from the estimated US\$ 9.5 trillion in public-private contracts globally. Mr. Jarvis previously worked on industry codes of conduct and as a consultant on historical corporate responsibility issues. Mr. Jarvis has advanced degrees from the University of Cambridge and Johns Hopkins University. He is a frequent author and blogger.

Lise Johnson is the Investment Law and Policy Head at the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI). Her work at CCSI centers on analyzing investment treaties, treaty-based investor-state arbitrations, and examining the implications those instruments and cases have for host countries' domestic policies and sustainable development strategies. In addition, she concentrates on key institutional and procedural aspects of the investment law framework, including efforts to increase transparency in and legitimacy of investor-state dispute settlement. She has a B.A. from Yale University, J.D. from University of Arizona and LL.M. from Columbia Law School, and is admitted to the bar in California.

Jürgen Kurtz is an Associate Professor and Director of Studies of the International Economic Law Program at the University of Melbourne, Australia. He researches and teaches in the various strands of international economic law including the jurisprudence of the World Trade Organization and that of investor-state arbitral tribunals. Mr. Kurtz has advised the governments of a number of OECD and developing countries and served as a consultant to leading regional and multilateral agencies involved in trade, investment, and development. He currently serves on the editorial board of the *Journal of World Investment and Trade*.

Ian A. Laird is Co-Chair of the International Dispute Resolution Group in the Washington, D.C., office of Crowell & Moring LLP. Over the past 16 years, he has represented a range of clients in international arbitration proceedings involving disputes between corporations and foreign governments. He is an adjunct professor at Columbia Law School and Georgetown University Law Center. Mr. Laird is the Co-Director of the International Investment Law

Center (International Law Institute), Co-editor of the series, *Investment Treaty Arbitration, and International Law* (Juris Publishing – now in its eighth edition), and Editor-in-Chief of *InvestmentClaims.com* (Oxford University Press).

Céline Lévesque is Full Professor and Dean of the Civil Law Section at the University of Ottawa. Her primary area of research is International Investment Law. Dean Lévesque has written extensively on NAFTA Chapter 11 (Investment) and on the Canadian Foreign Promotion and Protection Agreement (FIPA) program. In 2008–2009, she was a Scholar-in-Residence at the Trade Law Bureau of the Canadian Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade. In that capacity, she contributed to the defense of NAFTA Chapter 11 claims and to bilateral investment treaty negotiations. Prior to joining the University, Dean Lévesque worked at the World Bank in Washington, D.C. (1995–1998). She holds an LL.L. (Ottawa), LL.B. (Dalhousie), LL.M. (College of Europe), and M.A. (George Washington).

Maninder Malli is a Canadian lawyer with diverse experience in international investment, corporate transactions, and development finance. He currently manages global regulatory compliance for a multinational technology company. Mr. Malli previously held positions with the United Nations Department of Political Affairs in New York and the World Bank (Legal Vice Presidency) in Washington D.C., and practiced law with the Canadian firm Blake, Cassels & Graydon. Mr. Malli holds a master's degree in International Law from New York University, a law degree from Queen's University, and a bachelor's degree from the University of British Columbia.

Lindsey Marchessault is a Canadian lawyer and a consultant with the World Bank Governance Global Practice. Ms. Marchessault has contributed to the launch of the Open Contracting Partnership and the development of the Open Contracting Global Principles and Data Standard. In her work, she engages with governments and other stakeholders to develop and implement strategies for improved transparency and collaboration for better project outcomes. Previously, Ms. Marchessault worked at the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes.

Lars Markert is an associated partner in the international dispute resolution department of Gleiss Lutz's Stuttgart office. He is admitted to the German and New York bars and frequently advises Western and Asian clients in international commercial and investor-state arbitrations under most of the major arbitration rules, spanning industries such as pharmaceutical, aviation, commercial, and energy. He is on the Panel of Arbitrators of the Korean Commercial Arbitration Board (KCAB), on the Global Advisory Board for the ICDR Y&I, an academic adviser to the International Investment Law Centre Cologne (IILCC), and regularly teaches, speaks, and publishes on issues of international commercial and investment arbitration.

Dr. Borzu Sabahi is an attorney in the International Arbitration group of Curtis, Mallet-Prevost Colt & Mosle LLP. He represents governments and state-owned entities in complex international arbitration matters. He was recognized by the Who's Who of International Commercial Arbitration Lawyers 2015 as a leading practitioner. He is also an adjunct professor at Georgetown and Columbia Law Schools, Co-Director of ILI International Investment Law Center, Editor of Oxford's *InvestmentClaims.com*, and Co-Chair of Annual Juris Conference in Washington, D.C. His publications have been cited by arbitral tribunals and the U.S. Supreme Court. He is licensed to practice in New York and the District of Columbia.

Lisa Sachs is the Director of the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment. Since joining CCSI in 2008, she established and now oversees the three areas of focus for CCSI: investments in extractive industries, investments in land and agriculture, and investment law and policy. She specializes in extractive industries, foreign investment, corporate responsibility, and integrated economic development. She received a B.A. in Economics from Harvard University, and earned her J.D. and an M.A. in International Affairs from Columbia University, where she was a James Kent Scholar and recipient of the Parker School Certificate in International and Comparative Law.

Frédéric G. Sourgens is an Associate Professor of Law at Washburn University School of Law. He publishes regularly on political risk, transnational commercial law, and the theory of transnational law. His most recent book is *A Nascent Common Law: The Process of Decisionmaking in International Legal Disputes Between States and Foreign Investors* (Brill | Nijhoff, 2015). Mr. Sourgens serves as Managing Editor for Oxford University Press' "Investment Claims" reporter, as well as Co-editor of the series, *Investment Treaty Arbitration and International Law* (Juris Publishing – now in its eighth edition).

Catharine Titi is a postdoctoral research fellow at the University Panthéon-Assas Paris II. She holds a Ph.D. in Law from the University of Siegen, Germany, and has completed earlier studies in Greece, France, and the United Kingdom. Ms. Titi also holds a postgraduate qualification from the Courtauld Institute of Art, London, and has previously worked in management consulting for PwC, UK. She has regularly taught international economic law and international investment law and has published in a variety of academic journals. Her monograph on *The Right to Regulate in International Investment Law* was published in 2014 by Nomos and Hart Publishing.

Dr. Valentina Vadi is Professor of International Economic Law at Lancaster University, United Kingdom. Dr. Vadi also lectured at Hasselt University (Belgium), the University of Rome III (Italy), the China EU School of Law (P.R. China), and Maastricht University (The Netherlands). She has published more than 70 articles in various areas of public international law in top journals, including the *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, the *Stanford Journal of International Law*, the *Columbia Human Rights Review*, the *European Journal of International Law*, the *Journal of International Economic Law*, and others. She is the Co-editor (with Hildegard Schneider) of *Art, Cultural Heritage and the Market: Legal and Ethical Issues* (Springer: Heidelberg 2014), and (with Bruno De Witte) of *Culture and International Economic Law* (Routledge: 2015). Dr. Vadi is the author of *Public Health in International Investment Law and Arbitration* (Routledge, Abingdon 2012) and *Cultural Heritage in International Investment law and Arbitration* (Cambridge University Press, 2014).

Louis T. Wells is the Herbert F. Johnson Professor of International Management, Emeritus, at the Harvard Business School. Professor Wells has published books or articles on joint ventures, mining negotiations, foreign investment policy, investment promotion, and arbitration of disputes between foreign investors and host governments. Professor Wells has served as consultant to the governments of a large number of countries and as an expert in investor-state arbitrations. He is a Fellow of the Academy for International Business and a member of the Council on Foreign Relations. He received a B.S. in Physics from Georgia Tech and an M.B.A. and a D.B.A. from Harvard University.

Jason Yackee is an associate professor at the University of Wisconsin Law School. Professor Yackee's research centers on international investment law and administrative law. He teaches Contracts, International Arbitration, and International Business Transactions. Professor Yackee graduated summa cum laude and Phi Beta Kappa from the University of Pittsburgh, earned an M.A. and Ph.D. in Political Science (International Relations) from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and earned a J.D., summa cum laude and Order of the Coif, from Duke University School of Law where he was an editor for the Duke Law Journal. He has also studied French and European law at L'Université Panthéon-Assas (Paris-2). Professor Yackee has published articles in a variety of peer-reviewed social science journals, student-edited law reviews, and edited volumes, and he has served as Co-Chair of the American Society for International Law's (ASIL) International Economic Law Interest Group.

Jure Zrilič is a Ph.D. Candidate in Law at the University of Cambridge, focusing on international investment law. In 2012 he worked as a White & Case Fellow at the School of International Arbitration at Queen Mary, University of London, where he conducted research for the International Arbitration Survey and supervised LL.M. students. His practical experience includes working at a The Hague-based NGO in the justice sector, and completing a clerkship at the High Court in Slovenia.