



Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues by
the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment

No. 91 March 18, 2013

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu)

Managing Editor: Jennifer Reimer (jreimer01@gmail.com)

The Arab Awakening, act II: Time to move more boldly on investment

by

Anthony O’Sullivan and Alexander Böhmer*

Following the Arab Awakening in late 2010, the decline of foreign direct investment (FDI) in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) from US\$ 63 billion in 2010 to US\$ 39 billion by the end of 2011, was significant, albeit with important differences among countries.¹ FDI inflows continued to slow slightly in 2012 and are expected to remain flat in 2013.² Although FDI inflows for the region as a whole were affected by a number of factors, including the crisis in global financial markets, the sharp decrease was also related to a loss of investor confidence and political instability that affected both oil importing and exporting countries. For example, in Egypt FDI inflows fell from US\$ 6.4 billion in 2010 to a net disinvestment of US\$ 483 million in 2011.³ Sectors in the region that were hardest hit included agribusiness, construction, light manufacturing, renewable energies, and tourism.

A recent *Perspective* concluded that, “if political transitions in the region are democratic and coupled with political stability, the Arab Spring could increase FDI and contribute to economic development in the region.”⁴

* Anthony O’Sullivan (Anthony.OSULLIVAN@oecd.org) is the Head of the OECD’s Private Sector Development Division, which supports regions such as MENA, Eurasia and Latin America to enhance competitiveness and attract further investment. Alexander Böhmer (Alexander.BOEHMER@oecd.org) is the Head of the Middle East and North Africa-OECD Investment Programme. The authors wish to thank Persefoni Economou, Seev Hirsch and Nathan Jensen for their helpful peer reviews. **The views expressed by the authors of this *Perspective* do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the OECD, Columbia University or its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.**

¹ FDI increased in some countries such as Morocco. See UNCTAD FDI database 2012, available at: <http://unctadstat.unctad.org/ReportFolders/reportFolders.aspx>.

² MIGA, *World Investment and Political Risk* (Washington DC: The World Bank, 2013), pp.15-24.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Paul Antony Barbour et al., “The Arab Spring: How soon will foreign investors return?,” *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, No. 67 (May 7, 2012), p.3.

While this may be true, political transitions can take years to evolve toward a stable economic environment. Two years after the Arab Awakening, risks for investors in the region are still high. Since 2011, foreign investors have filed six new arbitration requests against Egypt.⁵ Labor unrest and weakened security in transition countries; political uncertainty in Egypt and Tunisia; turmoil in Libya, Syria and Yemen; and the Eurozone crisis have weakened investor confidence.

In this context, how can MENA countries reassure existing and potential investors and attract further investment? Outside of ensuring that fundamental conditions for doing business are in place -- macroeconomic stability, rule of law, quality infrastructure, and integrity in the public sector⁶ -- governments should consider four actions.

First, MENA governments need to honor their existing commitments under bilateral investment treaties (BITs) and other international investment agreements. Investors will be watching how transition governments handle measures that could spark dispute, such as confiscation of assets and the expropriation and cancellation or revision of contracts. MENA governments could also assess their BIT networks with a view to ratifying or renegotiating signed agreements.

MENA governments should also reaffirm their commitment to the fundamental international investment principles of protection, non-discrimination, transparency, and predictability. The adherence of Egypt, Morocco and Tunisia (and likely Jordan later this year) to the OECD Declaration on International Investment and Multinational Enterprises would have further impact if these countries agreed to an updated review of their investment policies and spearheaded reforms such as new investment laws with stronger investor protections.

Strengthening regional integration would further enhance the attractiveness of MENA as an investment destination of over 240⁷ million people with untapped potential to join global value chains. Intra-regional FDI flows in North Africa were a mere 6% of total flows between 2003 and 2010.⁸ A first step to bolster intra-regional investment would be to incorporate investment provisions into existing regional free trade agreements like the Agadir Agreement or the Greater Arab Free Trade Area.

Measures to enhance predictability and reduce investor risk should be complemented by other measures to promote investment. Investment promotion that focuses on attracting lead firms in high growth sectors can help local companies acquire the skills and certification to deliver products, processes and services for global value chains. Small and medium-size enterprises in Morocco, for instance, are developing capabilities in the

⁵ See icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/Index.jsp.

⁶ See OECD, *Policy Framework for Investment* (Paris: OECD, 2006).

⁷ World Bank, *World Development Indicators* (Washington: World Bank, 2011).

⁸ World Bank, *From Political to Economic Awakening: The Path of Economic Integration* (Washington: World Bank, 2012).

automobile and aeronautics sectors through their association with Bombardier, EADS, Renault, Safran, and Thales.

Aside from these government actions, the private sector must also do its share to convince the public and new political majorities of the beneficial role it can play in job creation and social development. Companies operating in the region should take decisive actions to foster integrity, corporate governance and responsible business conduct.⁹ If firms act in the right manner, governments will have more incentive to honor their commitments.

MENA countries cannot afford to wait for investors to return. Governments must reaffirm their commitment to international investment principles, accelerate regional integration and implement targeted investment promotion measures. Broader reforms to strengthen governance, tackle corruption and modernize education systems and infrastructure will also be essential for FDI to have a lasting positive impact on growth and job creation across the region. It is time for MENA governments and their international partners to move more boldly on investment.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: “Anthony O’Sullivan and Alexander Böhmer, ‘The Arab Awakening, act II: Time to move more boldly on investment,’ Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 91, March 18, 2013. Reprinted with permission from the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (www.vcc.columbia.edu).” A copy should kindly be sent to the Vale Columbia Center at vcc@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submitting to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment, Jennifer Reimer, jreimer01@gmail.com. Ms. Reimer is in-house counsel for LG Electronics’ Regional Headquarters for the Middle East and Africa.

The Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC), led by Lisa Sachs, is a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University. It is the only applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment, through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 90, Shaun E. Donnelly, “A business perspective on a China - US bilateral investment treaty,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, March 4, 2013.
- No. 89, Joachim Karl, “Investor-state dispute settlement: A government’s dilemma,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, February 18, 2013.
- No. 88, Jarrod Wong, “The compensatory nature of moral damages in investor-state arbitration,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, February 4, 2013.
- No. 87, Ralph Alexander Lorz, “Trying to change the rules for responding to arbitration unilaterally: The proposed new framework for investor-state dispute settlement for the EU,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, January 22, 2013.

⁹ See the updated OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, OECD (2011), available at: <http://www.oecd.org/daf/internationalinvestment/guidelinesformultinationalenterprises/oecdguidelinesformultinationalenterprises.htm>.

- No. 86, Catharine Titi, “EU investment agreements and the search for a new balance: A paradigm shift from laissez-faire liberalism toward embedded liberalism?,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, January 3, 2013.
- No. 85, Karl P. Sauvant and Huiping Chen, “A China – US bilateral investment treaty: A template for a multilateral framework for investment?,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, December 17, 2012.
- No. 84, Saurav Pathak et al., “Inward foreign direct investment: Does it enable or constrain domestic technology entrepreneurship?,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, December 3, 2012.
- No. 83, Xiaofang Shen, “Untying the land knot: Turning investment challenges into opportunities for all citizens,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, November 19, 2012.
- No. 82, John Kline, “Evaluate Sustainable FDI to Promote Sustainable Development,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, November 5, 2012.
- No. 81, Ilan Alon and Aleh Cherp, “Is China’s outward investment in oil a global security concern?,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, October 22, 2012.

All previous *FDI Perspectives* are available at <http://www.vcc.columbia.edu/content/fdi-perspectives>.